Scientific Journal of Impact Factor(SJIF): 3.134

e-ISSN(O): 2348-4470
p-ISSN(P): 2348-6406

International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development

Volume 2, Issue 9, September -2015

SYSTEMATIC ERROR CODES IMPLIMENTATION FOR MATCHED DATA ENCODED

B.YSAWANTH KUMAR [(1),] L.S.DEVARAJ [(2)]

[(1)]*PG Scholar, VLSI System Design, Intellectual Institute Of Technology, AP, India*
[(2)]*Assistant Professor, Intellectual Institute Of Technology, AP, India*

ABSTRACT: *In current scenario, there are situations in a computing system where incoming information needs to be compared with a piece of stored data to locate the matching entry, e.g., cache tag array lookup and translation look-aside buffer matching. In this paper, a new architecture to reduce complexity and latency for matching the data protected with an error-correcting code (ECC).It is based on the fact that the code word of an ECC generated by encoding is usually represented in a systematic form, and it consists of the raw data and the parity information. The proposed architecture parallelizes the comparison of the data and that of the parity information. To further reduce the latency and complexity, in addition, a modified butterfly formed weight accumulator (BWA) is proposed for the efficient computation of the Hamming distance. The proposed architecture examines whether the incoming data matches the stored data if a certain number of* erroneous bits are correct.

KEYWORDS*: Error correcting code (ECC), Butterfly Formed Weight accumulator (BWA), Data comparison, hamming distance, systematic codes.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Data comparison circuit is a logic that has many applications in a computing system. For example, to check Whether a piece of information is in a cache, the address of the information in the memory is compared to all cache tags in the same set that might contain that address. Another place that uses a data comparison circuit is in the translation look asidebuffer (TLB) unit. TLB is used to speed up virtual to physical address translation. Because of such prevalence, it is important to implement the comparison circuit with low hardware complexity. Besides, the data comparison usually resides in the critical path of the components that are devised to increase the system performance, e.g., caches and TLBs, whose outputs determine the flow of the succeeding operations in a pipeline. The circuit, therefore, must be designed to have as low latency as possible, or the components will be disqualified from serving as accelerators and the overall performance of the whole system would be severely deteriorated.

Error correcting codes (ECCs) are widely used in modern microprocessors to enhance the reliability and data integrity of their memory structures. For example, caches on modern microprocessors are protected by ECC.If a memory structure is protected with ECC, a piece of data is encoded first and the entire code word including the ECC check bits are written into the memory array. When the input data is loaded into the system, it has to be encoded and compared with the data stored in the memory and corrected if errors are detected to obtain the original data.

II. PREVIOUS WORKS

2.1 Decode-And-Compare Architecture

This section describes the conventional decode-and-compare architecture based on the direct compare method. Let us consider a cache memory where a k-bit tag is stored in the form of an n-bit code word after being encoded by a (n, k)code. In the decode-and compare architecture, the n-bit retrieved code word should first be decoded to extract the original Kbittag. The extracted k-bit tag is then compared with the k-bit tag field of an incoming address to determine whether the tags are matched or not. As the retrieved code word should go through the decoder before being compared with the incoming tag, the critical path is too long to be employed in a practical cache system designed for high speed access. Figure(1) is shown in below.

2.2 Direct Compare Method

Direct compare method is one of the most recent solutions for the matching problem. The direct Comparemethod encodes the incoming data and then compares it with the retrieved data that has been encoded as well. Therefore, the method eliminates the complex decoding from the critical path. In the direct compare method, which encodes the incoming data and then compares it with the retrieved data that has been encoded as well? Therefore, the method eliminates the complex decoding from the critical path. As the checking necessitates an additional circuit to compute the Hamming distance use a saturating adder. SA-based approach is the one where a special counter is constructed with an additional` building block called saturating adder (SA).The SA-based direct compare architecture reduces the latency and hardware complexity by resolving the aforementioned drawbacks.



Fig: (1) Decode And Compare Method, (2) Direct Compare Method

III. PROPOSED ARCHITECTURE

This section presents a new architecture that can reduce the latency and complexity of the data comparison by using the characteristics of systematic codes. In this proposed structure consist of retrieved codeword and incoming tag are combined and given to the xor bank. Xor bank represents the array of bit-wise comparators (exclusive OR gates). It performs XOR operations for every pair of bits in X and Y so as to generate a vector representing the bitwise difference of the two codewords. The output from the XOR bank is then fed into BWA consisting of half adders (HAs). The numbers of 1's are accumulated by passing the value through the BWA.The proposed architecture grounded on the data path design is given below. It contains multiple butterfly formed weight accumulators (BWAs) proposed to improve the latency and complexity of the Hamming distance computation. The basic function of the BWA is to count the number of 1's among its input bits.



Fig 3: Proposed data path design

The proposed architecture consists of multiple stages of HAs as shown in figure where each output bit of a HA is associated with a weight. The HAs in a stage are connected in a butterfly form so as to accumulate the carry bits and the sum bits of the upper stage separately. In other words, both inputs of a HA in a stage, except the first stage, are either carry bits or sum bits computed in the upper stage. This connection method leads to a property that if an output bit of a HA is set, the number of 1's among the bits in the paths reaching the HA is equal to the weight of the output bit. In the below figure for example, if the carry bit of the gray-colored HA is set, the number of 1's among the associated input bits, i.e., A, B, C, and D, is 2. At the last stage of above figure the number of 1's among the input bits, d, can be calculated as eq. (1)

$$D=8I+4(J+K+M)+2(L+N+O)+P \qquad \text{eq. (1)}$$

Since what we need is not the precise Hamming distance but the range it belongs to, it is possible to simplify the circuit. When r max = 1, for example, two or more than two 1's among the input bits can be regarded as the same case that falls in the fourth range. In that case, we can replace several HAs with a simple OR-gate tree as shown below. This is an advantage over the SA that resorts to the compulsory saturation.



Fig:**4** (a) General structure of BWA & (b) Revised structure with OR-gate tree.

Each XOR stage generates the bitwise difference vector for either data bits or parity bits, and the following processing elements count the number of 1's in the vector, i.e., the Hamming distance. Each BWA at the first level is in the revised form shown in figure above, and generates an output from the OR-gate tree and several weight bits from the HA trees. In the

interconnection, such outputs are fed into their associated processing elements at the second level. The output of the OR-gate tree is connected to the subsequent OR-gate tree at the second level, and the remaining weight bits are connected to the second level BWAs according to their weights. A simple (8, 4) single-error correction double-error detection code is considered and the corresponding first and second level circuits are shown below.



Fig:5 First and second level circuits for (8,4) code

Taking the outputs of the preceding circuits (BWA), the decision unit finally determines the incoming tag matches The retrieved codeword by considering the four ranges of the Hamming distance. The decision unit is in fact a combinational logic of which functionality is specified by a truth table that takes the outputs of the preceding circuits as inputs. For the (8, 4) code that the corresponding first and second level circuits are given above, the truth table for the decision unit is described in Table 1.

Table:1 Truth Table Of The Decision Unit

Q	R	S	T	U	V	Decision
0	0	0	0	0	x	Match
0	0	0	0	1	x	Fault
0	0	0	1	0	0	Fault
0	0	0	1	0	1	Mismatch

The proposed BWA is developed with the help of modified XOR gate (XORM) and modified half adder (HAM).XORM has 1 gate less than the conventional XOR gate of 5 gates (AND-OR-NOT implementation) as in Fig.5. HAM has 2gates less than the conventional half adder as shown in Fig.6. As the number of gates reducein the basic building blocks of the proposed BWA area is also reduced. The block diagram representation of modified BWA is sown in Fig:7



Fig:6 Modified Xor Gate Fig:7 Modified Half Adder



Fig 7: Block Diagram Representation of Modified BWA

IV. EVALUATION

The proposed algorithm is coded in Verilog and simulated using Xilinx ISE 9.2isimulator and. The results obtained are



Fig 8: simulation result of 8bit the of error-correcting codes

V. CONCLUSIONAND FUTURE WORK

A new architecture has been presented for matching the data protected with an ECC to reduce the complexity and delay. The proposed architecture examines whether the incoming data matches the stored data if a certain number of erroneous bits are corrected. To reduce the latency, the comparison of the data is parallelized with the encoding process that generates the parity information. An efficient processing architecture has been presented to further minimize the latency and complexity. The experimental results show that the efficiency of the proposed method. As the proposed architecture is effective in reducing the latency as well as the complexity considerably, it can be regarded as a promising solution for the comparison of ECCprotected data. In the proposed architecture we deduct and correct single error and deduct double error in future Error correction of double adjacent errors will be included and compared its performance.

REFERENCES

[1]Byeong Yong Kong, Jihyuck Jo, Hyewon Jeong, Mina Hwang,Soyoung Cha, Bongjin Kim, and In-Cheol Park, "Low-Complexity Low-Latency Architecture for Matching of DataEncoded With Hard Systematic Error-Correcting Codes", IEEEtransactions on very large scale integration (VLSI) systems, vol.22, no. 7, July 2014.

[2] W. Wu, D. Somasekhar, and S.-L. Lu, "Direct compare ofinformation coded with error correcting codes," IEEE Trans. VeryLarge Scale Integr. (VLSI) Syst., vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 2147–2151,Nov. 2012

[3] J. D. Warnock, Y.-H. Chan, S. M. Carey, H. Wen, P. J. Meaney, G. Gerwig, H. H. Smith, Y. H. Chan, J.Davis, P. Bunce, A. Pelella, D. Rodko, P. Patel, T. Strach, D. Malone, F. Malgioglio, J. Neves, D. L. Rude,and W. V. Huott "Circuit and physical design implementation of the microprocessor chip for the Enterprisesystem," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 151–163, Jan. 2012.

[4]AMD Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, "Family 10h AMD Opteron™ Processor Product Data Sheet," PID: 40036 Rev: 3.04,2010.Available:http://support.amd.com/us/Processor_TechDocs/40036.pdf .

[5] G. Li, I. J. Fair, and W. A. Krzymien, "Low-density parity-check codes for space-time wireless transmission," *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.*, vol.5, no. 2, pp. 312–322, Feb. 2006.

[6] Costin Anghel, Benoit Bakeroot, Yogesh S. Chauhan, Renaud Gillon,Christian Maier, Peter Moens, Jan Doutreloigne and Adrian Mihaim Ionescu "New Method for Threshold Voltage Extraction of High-Voltage MOSFETs Based on Gate-to-Drain CapacitanceMeasurement" ,IEEE Electron device letters,vol 27,no.7,july 2006

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

FIRST AUTHOR: **B.Ysawanth Kumar** received the B.Tech degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering in the year 2012 and pursuing M.Tech degree in VLSI System design from Intellectual institute of technology. Area of interests includes Communication and VLSI Design

SECOND AUTHOR: L.S.Devaraj received the B.Tech degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering and M.Tech degree in VLSI. Currently, She is working as Assistant Professor in the Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Intellectual institute of technology, Ananthapuramu.Having three years of teaching experience. Area of interest includes VLSI design, vhdl and Verilog.

