

Scientific Journal of Impact Factor (SJIF): 4.72

e-ISSN (O): 2348-4470 p-ISSN (P): 2348-6406

International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development

Volume 4, Issue 2, February -2017

# A Critical Review of Correlation between different Engineering Properties of Subgrade soil

Pinal B. Adroja<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Yogesh U. Shah<sup>2</sup>, Prof. Ravindra V. Solanki<sup>3</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>P.G. Student, MEFGI Rajkot <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, IITRAM, Ahmedabad <sup>3</sup>Assistant professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, MEFGI, Rajkot

**Abstract:-** In the flexible pavements sub-grade is considered to be an ideal layer to resist wheel load and its CBR value is considered as the strength measuring parameter. Conducting CBR test is an expensive and very time consuming test, so it is very tricky to mould the sample at a desired in-situ density in the laboratory. In the present study attempt is being a develop relationship between DCPT value and CBR and Unconfined compressive strength(UCS).CBR can't be easily measured in the field, assumption of CBR from other simple tests such as Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) and unconfined compressive strength (UCS). A soil property is a Valuable alternative. So the main objective of this study (1) toclassify the soil samples of different region based on index properties. (2) To determine the subgrade strength parameters like California bearing ratio (CBR) (soaked & unsoaked), dynamic conepenetration test(DCPT) & Unconfined compressive strength (UCS). (3) To develop the correlation between different engineering properties of subgrade soil like CBR, DCPT & UCS.

Key words:-California bearing ratio, Dynamic cone penetration, unconfined compressive strength, Subgrade soil

## I. INTRODUCTION

Roads are necessary for transportation and economic development of the country. Most of the road network in the country is consisting of flexible pavement. Flexible pavement consists of different layers such as sub-grade, sub-base, base course and surface layer. Sub-grade is the bottom most layer. Design and performance of flexible pavement mainly depends on the strength of sub-grade material. The load from the pavement surface is ultimately transferred to sub-grade andtothesub-base. Thesub-gradeisdesignedsuch that the stress transferred should not exceed elastic limit. Hence, the suitability and stability of sub-grade material is evaluated before construction of pavement. So overcome of this problem another tool can be introduced it's a Known as a DCP (Dynamic cone penetrometer). Even IRC 37 (2012) recommends the following equation to be used to determine the in-situ CBR of subgrade soil based on DCP test:

LOG10CBR = 2.465 - 1.12 LOG10N Where N= mm/blow

Subgrade soil is a very important parameter to design Flexible and Rigid Pavement. Laboratory investigation of Strength of Subgrade parameter as California Bearing Ratio is an essential method for Design of flexible pavement and K-Value required for design of rigid pavement. Unconfined compressive strength is helpful for finding the value of shear strength parameter of sub grade soil. So this study considers the use of multiple variable regression analysis to predict the California Bearing Ratio (CBR) and k value and unconfined compressive strength, insitu dry density from Dynamic Cone Penetrometer and liquid limit and moisturecontentofsubgradesoil.Sothisformulation is used for Quick determination of Subgrade strength parameter like CBR (California Bearing Ratio) and some another parameter like DCP(Dynamic cone penetrometer).

### **II. LITRATURE REVIEW**

Mukesh A. Patel et al. (2013)<sup>[1]</sup> has developed a Correlation between California bearing ratio(CBR),Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) and Modulus of subgrade reaction(KPBT).So value of CBR,UCS&KPBT increases with increase in cement content in soil. The CBR, PBT, UCS& DCP tests were conducted on natural soil & stabilized soil by adding cement(1to5%inincrementof1%)&Flyash(10to 50% in increment of 10%) and also Maximum dry density and optimum moisture content were be obtained for each proportion by modified compaction test procedure. So it is found that based on experimental analysis develop the multiple variable correlations between PBT, UCS, CBR & DCP. The value of CBR, UCS & modulus of sub grade reaction (KPBT) increases with increase in cement & flyash content in soil so this correlation is helpful for consultants/engineers in quick determination of CBR, UCS & K-value.

# International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development (IJAERD) Volume 4, Issue 2, February -2017, e-ISSN: 2348 - 4470, print-ISSN: 2348-6406

Sunanda Bhattacharajeeetal. $(2014)^{[2]}$ have carried out work on Conventional CBR and DCP method in mould. So generally in the most of cases laboratory CBR by DCP is higher than conventional laboratory IS method. Laboratory CBR by IS and DCP method both are always higher at 100% MDD compared to 97% MDD. So CBR value of Dynamic compaction is slightly higher than Static compaction for both the methods.CBR values of subgrade,increasesatOMC-2% and decreases at +2% compared with OMC condition.

GillK .Setal.(2010)<sup>[3]</sup> havecarriedoutworkonCBR Value Estimation Using Dynamic Cone Penetrometer. So, the relation is developed between CBR index value for tests conducted under different conditions and compaction level or Field density and similarly laboratory soaked CBR value can be calculated after developing a correlation between CBRI (CBRLS/CBRDCPS) and compaction level. So Construction of embankment or strengthening of existing pavement a Dynamic cone penetration tool is very valuable to determine the subgrade strength parameter in terms of CBR value.

Bandyopadhyay, K et al. (2010)<sup>[4]</sup> have carried out work on Laboratory and Field CBR by DCP and IS method. This paper presents the comparison between laboratory (soaked and unsoaked) and field CBR by DCP and conventional IS code method for service road work atSreebhumi end of Ultadanga flyover under 'JNNURM' scheme executed by Kolkata Metropolitan Development Authority. So the difference between laboratoryCBRvaluesbyISandDCPmethodare4to10% for unsoaked and 22 to 24% for 4 days soaked condition. The Field CBR values by IS: 2720 (Part31) method was 13 to 21% higher than DCP CBR in respect to IS method at the field.

Dr.DilipKumar(2014)<sup>[5]</sup>developedrelationbetween CaliforniaBearingRatio(CBR)withotherproperties of soil. CBR value of fine grained soil bears important relation with Plasticity index (PI), Maximum Dry Density and Optimum moisture content. CBR value is increases with the decreases in Plasticity index and OptimumMoisturecontentofsoil.Butincreaseswiththe increase in the Maximum dry density So There is a minor difference between the CBR value determined in thelaboratoryanddetermined bymeansofmultiple linearregressionmodelinvolvingLL,PL,PI,MDD and OMC and type of soil used in this study is ML and MI.

P.G.Rakaraddietal.(2015) <sup>[6]</sup> Established the relationship between CBR with different type of soil properties. So additional, if the available soil is of poor quality, suitable admixture or additive are mixed with soilandresulting strength of soil is assess by CBR value which is so cumbersome. To overcome these problems, the other methods such as regression based models (simple & multiple) are used in this study. From simple correlation method CBR can be predicted by soil properties. Soliquidlimit considered higher priority for predicting soak CBR value followed by MDD, OMC and PI based on assessment factor  $R^2$ .So CBR correlated with WL, WP, fines and specific gravity generated equation alike CBR=0.275LL+0.118PL+0.033F+5.106G and With  $R^2$ =0.961 gives a good value.

Tapas Kumar Roy (2013)<sup>[7]</sup> developed Influence of sand on strength properties of cohesive Soil for sub grade. As results Plasticity Index shows a lesser values with increase of sand content as Liquid Limit and MDD values of all the two types of alluvial soils shows a significant increase in the values of MDD with addition of any of the three type sofsandsused.So such value in unsoaked condition become nearly doubledforadditionoffinesandupto15% compare to that of tested soils and may be identified as cost effective mix proportion because of reducing the thicknessofdifferentlayersofflexiblepavementdue to improvement in the CBR value.

PawanKumaretal.(2014)<sup>[8]</sup> developedCritical appraisalofcorrelationsbetweenCBRandsubgrade modulus. So in this paper, It is found that on reviewing the various correlation between E and CBR, these equation must be used with caution, since the thickness of the pavement layers is determined based on the estimated E values, using these E-CBR relation without considering the soil properties may lead the providing inadequate pavement layer above the subgrade. So absence of experimental data the triaxial testing facility being expensive and not widely available, the resilient modulus can be determined using CBR value.

SimoTosovicetal.(2010)<sup>[9]</sup> developedC.B.R.Testing with Dynamic conical penetrometer in the process of road rehabilitation. The paper is Content of basic principles of operation with dynamic cone penetrometer, so by means of the device whereby one obtains in-situ data on bearing capacity of road and subgrade along with a definition of thickness and limits among the layers. The paper is concluding a test results obtained in the field for fine grained materials, allalongwiththerelationshipwithCBRlaboratory test results.

@IJAERD-2017, All rights Reserved

## International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development (IJAERD) Volume 4, Issue 2, February -2017, e-ISSN: 2348 - 4470, print-ISSN: 2348-6406

SaiedEnayatapouretal.(2006) [10]<sub>havecarriedout</sub> work on Unconfined compressive strength by using dynamic cone penetrometer for stabilized soil. The DPI values for cement treated soils were lower than the DPI values for lime treated soils. Also excellent correlation models ( $\mathbb{R}^2$  of 0.97 and 0.91) were obtained between UCS and DPI, treatment method, and curing time. This indicates that the DCP method can be a valuable tool for predicting unconfined compressive strength of shallow subgrade treatment.

Deepika.Chukkaetal. $(2012)^{[11]}$  have carried outwork on estimation of different properties of soil Sub grade using Dynamic Cone Penetration. So here by various testing a DCPI value decreases with increasing CBR value also relation can be define as a Log (CBR=0.441-0.296Log (DCPI) and DCPI value decreased with increasing value of UCS. The DCP value can be used to determine the average value of UCS of subgrade and the relation can be define as Log (DCPI). DCPI increases with moisture.

Dr.R.SrinivasaKumaretal.(2015)<sup>[12]</sup>havecarriedout work on Comparative study on model develop by the CBR, DCPT, FWD test results. Towards this objective, the DCP as a low cost and alternative tool used for evaluating unbound granular and subgrade soil of low volume and roads in developing and under-developed countries where routine evaluation of FWD is not feasible. So the differences among the different relationships developed for different countries may be due to variations in prevailing soil type, drainage condition and layers confinement and depth of testing and variations in test procedures adopted.

#### **III. CONCLUSION**

This Paper presents a literature review on Correlation between different engineering properties of subgrade soil. So in most of the paper content with correlation of CBR (California bearing ratio) and DCPT (Dynamic cone penetration) and UCS (Unconfined compressive strength). So based on different correlation it's easy to identify a different subgrade soil. So this model equation is very helpful for finding Different properties of subgrade soil.

#### **IV. REFERENCE**

- [1] Mukesh A. Patel and H.S. Patel, "Experimental investigation to predict PBT, UCS & CBR values from DCPtest forcement-flyashstabilizedsoil", Journal of Indian highways (September 2013)
- [2] Sunanda Bhattacharajee and Kaushik Bandyopadhyay, "A Laboratory study on conventional CBR and DCP method in the mould", Journal of Indian highways (June-2014)
- [3] Gill K.S. & Jha J.N., Choudhary A.K,"CBR value Estimation using Dynamic cone penetrometer ", (Indian geotechnical journal-2010), GEOtrends December 16-18, 2010 IGS Mumbai chapter & IIT Bombay
- [4] Bandyopadhyay & Bhattacharjee, S." Comparative Study between Laboratory and Field CBR by DCP and IS Method" (Indian geotechnical journal-2010), GEOtrends December 16-18, 2010 IGS Mumbai chapter &IIT Bombay.
- [5] Dr. Dilip Kumar," A Study of Correlation between CBR Value with Other Properties of Soil "International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering Volume 4, Issue 1, January2014
- [6] P.G. Rakaraddi, Vijay Gomarsi, "ESTABLISHING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CBR WITH DIFFERENT SOIL PROPERTIES" IJRET: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, Volume: 04 Issue: 02, Feb-2015
- [7] Tapas Kumar Roy," Influence of sand on strength characteristicsofcohesiveSoilforusingasSubgrade of road", (ELSEVIER) Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences 104 (2013) 218 224
- [8] Pawan Kumar, Deepthi Dilip & Sivkumar," Critical appraisal of correlations between CBR and subgrade modulus"JournalofIndianRoadcongress(Jan-mar2014)
- [9] SimoTosovic, VladetaVujanic, "C.B.R. Testing with Dynamic conical penetrometer in the process of road rehabilitation and construction control", The Highway Institute, Department for geotechnics, Belgrade, Republic of Serbia) Portorož, 20. – 22. Oktobra 2010
- [10] Saied Enayatapour, Anand J. Puppala and HariharanVasudevan,"Dynamicconepenetrometertoevaluate Unconfined compressive strength of stabilizedsoil" Site and Geomaterial Characterization (GSP 149) ASCE 2006.
- [11] Deepika.Chukka, Chakravarthi.V.K," EvaluationOf properties Of soil Subgrade Using DynamicCone Penetration index" International Journal ofEngineering Research and Development, Volume 4,Issue 44 (October 2012), PP. 07-15
- [12] Dr. Srinivasa Kumar, Alhammadi Shabbab Ajmi ,Bhasker Valkati ," Comparative study of SubgradeSoilStrengthestimationmodelsdeveloped basedonCBR, DCP and FWD test results" InternationalAdvanced Reserch journal in Science, EngineeringAnd Technology, Vol. 2, Issue 8,August 2015