

Performance Optimization of Aerospike Nozzle Geometrical Profiles

Krunal C. Chaudhari¹, Shantanu J. Chaudhari²
¹Research Scholar, Mechanical Engineer, NMU Jalgaon, Maharashtra

²Associate Professor, Automobile Engineering Dept. SGDCOE Jalgaon, Maharashtra

Abstract - A rocket engine nozzle is a propelling nozzle used in a rocket engine to expand and accelerate the combustion gases produced by burning propellants so that the exhaust gases exit the nozzle at hypersonic velocities. The primary nozzle contour is approximated by two circular arcs and a parabola. Compared with conventional rocket engine, aerospike nozzle engine characterized by small scale, lightweight, high performance during all altitudes and better utilization of the vehicle base is the promising candidate for the propulsion device of future advanced launching vehicle. Aerospike nozzle technology is the key technology of aerospike nozzle rocket engine. Aerospike nozzle is a type of nozzle with capacity of continuous altitude compensation. Aerospike nozzle is considered to have better performance at off- design altitudes compared with that of the conventional bell-shaped nozzle since its plume is open to the atmosphere outside and free to adjust, allowing the engine to operate at its optimum expansion at all altitudes. An Aerospike nozzle model is selected for evaluating for maximum thrust at minimum weight therefore, a model of aerospike rocket nozzle has been developed and for giving our main objective i.e., maximum thrust at minimum stress, So modifying its geometrical shapes and hence the contour shape will definitely be change. These different shapes are as, Cubical contour shape, Cubical parabolic contour profile. Semi cubical parabolic contour shape. This includes predictions of nozzle thrust, nozzle weight, and effective vehicle gross-liftoff weight. The contour of the aerospike nozzle has been designed for maximum thrust at one design condition out of all these three conditions.

I. INTRODUCTION

A nozzle is a device designed to control the direction or characteristics of a fluid flow (especially to increase velocity) as it exits (or enters) an enclosed chamber or pipe. Frequently, the goal of a nozzle is to increase the kinetic energy of the flowing medium at the expense of its pressure and internal energy. Nozzle can be described as convergent (narrowing down from a wide diameter to a smaller diameter in the direction of the flow) or divergent (expanding from a smaller diameter to larger one).



Fig 1 Rocket Nozzle

The primary nozzle contour is approximated by two circular arcs and a parabola; the plug contour is approximated by a parabola and a third-order polynomial. The maximum total impulse from sea level to design altitude is adopted as objective to optimize the aerospike nozzle contour. A high efficient propulsion system is one of the key factors to realize an advanced launching vehicle. Research and development of reusable propulsion system characterized by lightweight, low cost and high performance is the inevitable trend of future aerospace propulsion technology. The rocket engine with bell-shaped nozzle has been brought to its full development up to now and it is difficult to essentially improve its performance to a great extent. Compared with conventional rocket engine, aerospike nozzle engine characterized by small scale, lightweight, high performance during all altitudes and better utilization of the vehicle base is the promising candidate for the propulsion device of future advanced launching vehicle. Aerospike nozzle technology is the key technology of aerospike nozzle rocket engine. Aerospike nozzle is a type of nozzle with capacity of continuous altitude compensation. Aerospike nozzle is considered to have better performance at off- design altitudes compared with that of the conventional bell-shaped nozzle since its plume is open to the atmosphere outside and free to adjust, allowing the engine to operate at its optimum expansion at all altitudes. The aerospike nozzle engine was once a candidate for the space shuttle propulsion and had been studied from the early 1960s until the end of the 1970s. However, technological

difficulties in the development of the aerospike nozzle made it fall behind the development of conventional nozzle and research on the aerospike nozzle stagnated. In the 1990s, much attention was devoted to this nozzle concept and intensive studies on the aerospike nozzle were carried out again in the United States, Europe, Japan, Russia and China. The investigation on aerospike nozzle has been carried out in China since 1997. In 1964, an approximate design method of the aerospike nozzle was proposed by Angelino. This method is based on the assumptions that the exhaust properties at the plug inlet are uniform and one-dimensional, so that the expansion fan emanating from the external lip of the primary nozzle are straight lines and properties along each expansion wave are uniform.

1.1. Thrust chamber assembly:

The thrust chamber assembly consists of a gimbal bearing, an oxidizer dome, an injector, a thrust chamber body, a thrust chamber nozzle extension, and thermal insulation. The thrust chamber assembly receives propellants under pressure supplied by turbo pump, mixes and burns them and imparts a high velocity to the expelled combustion gases to produce thrust. The thrust chamber assembly also serves as a mount or support for all engine hardware.

1.2. Thrust chamber injector:

The thrust chamber injector directs fuel and oxidizer into the thrust chamber in a pattern which ensures efficient and satisfactory combustion. The injector is multi-orifice with copper fuel rings and copper oxidizer rings forming the face of the injector and containing the injection orifice pattern. Assembled to the face are radial and circumferential copper baffles which extend downward and compartmentalized the injector face. The baffles and rings, together with a segregated injector fuel system, are installed in a stainless steel body.

Oxidizer enters the injector from the oxidizer dome. Fuel enters the injector from the thrust chamber fuel inlet manifold, and in order to facilitate the engine start phase and to reduce pressure losses, part of flow is introduced directly into the thrust chamber. The remaining fuel flows through alternate tubes which run the length of the thrust chamber body to the nozzle exit. There, it enters a return manifold and flows back to the injector through the remaining tubes.

1.3. Thrust chamber body:

The thrust chamber body provides a combustion chamber for burning propellants under pressure and an expansion nozzle for expelling gases produced by the burned propellants at the high velocity required to produce the desired thrust. The thrust chamber is tabular walled and regenerative fuel cooled, and the nozzle is bell-shaped.

1.4. Thrust chamber nozzle extension:

The thrust chamber nozzle extension increases the expansion ratio of the thrust chamber from 10:1 to 16:1. It is a detachable unit that is bolted to the exit end ring of the thrust chamber. The injector of the nozzle extension is protected from the engine exhaust gas environment (5800 Fahrenheit) by film cooling, using the turbine exhaust gases (1200 Fahrenheit) as the coolant. The exhaust gas enters the extension between a continuous outer wall and a shingled inner wall, pass out through injection slots between the shingles, and flow over the surface of shingles forming a boundary layer between the inner wall of the nozzle extension and the hotter exhaust gases exiting from main engine combustion chamber. The nozzle extension is made of high strength stainless steel.

A nonlinear computational fluid dynamics code is used to calculate the aerodynamic thrust, and a three dimensional finite element model is used to determine the structural response and weight. The model will be used to demonstrate, multidisciplinary design optimization (MDO). A multidisciplinary analytic model of a linear aerospike rocket nozzle has been developed; this model includes predictions of nozzle thrust, nozzle weight, and effective vehicle gross-lift-off weight (GLOW). The MDO approach is a methodology for the design of complex engineering systems and subsystems that coherently exploits the synergism of mutually interacting phenomenon. Traditional methods of design, analysis and optimization have been based on the approach where discipline is isolated.

Fluid-structure interaction (FSI) is the interaction of some movable or deformable structure with an internal or surrounding fluid flow. Fluid-structure interaction problems and metaphysics problems in general are often too complex to solve analytically and so they have to be analyzed by means of experiments or numerical simulation. Research in the fields of computational fluid dynamics and computational structural dynamics is still ongoing but the maturity of these fields enables numerical simulation of fluid-structure interaction. There are two main approaches exist for the simulation of fluid-structure interaction problems:

(i) Monolithic approach: The monolithic approach consisting the equations, governing the flow and the displacement of the structure are solved simultaneously, with a single solver. Therefore it requires less time compare to next approach. But this approach is solved simultaneously so it is complicated.

(ii) Partitioned approach: The partitioned approach consisting the equations, governing the flow and the displacement of the structure are solved separately, with two distinct solvers. In this approach it solves differently therefore required less timing compare to monolithic approach.

In this research paper, I had used CFD software like fluent and gambit for determining exhaust gas pressure and velocity coming out from Aerospike Nozzle. And also used ANSYS software for determining Von Mises stress and shear stresses acting on the nozzle boundary. I had taken the basic shape for nozzle contour was parabolic. After doing study on it, changed the contour profile for better performance. That is for increasing thrust and minimizing stress. So different contour profiles used are:

1. Cubical contour profile.
2. Cubical parabolic contour profile.
3. Semi cubical parabolic contour profile.

These are three different contour profiles are studies. And from that best one is find out on the base of our aim i.e. to increase thrust and minimizing weight.

II. INPUT PARAMETERS:

Length -	19ft
Width -	12 ft. 4in
Thrust(Sea level) -	1,500,000lb
Specific impulse (min.) -	260 sec
Rated run duration -	150 sec
Flowrate: Oxidiser –	3,945lb/sec (24,811 gpm)
Fuel-	1,738lb/ sec (15471 gpm)
Mixture Ratio -	2.27:1 oxidiser to fuel
Chamber pressure –	965 psia
Weight flight config. –	18,500 lb. maximum
Expansion area ratio –	16:1 with nozzle extension
	10:1 without nozzle extension
Maximum nozzle exit dia. –	11ft. 7in

These parameters are taken from “Saturn V news reference “F1 engine fact sheet”

III. GEOMETRICAL PROFILES

3.1. Cubical Profile




Fig 2 Cubical nozzle contour shape in CFD




Fig 3 Cubical contour pressure profile in CFD.




Fig 4 Cubical contour velocity profile in CFD.




Fig 5 Vone mises stress.

3.2. Cubical Parabolic Profile




Fig 6 Cubical parabolic nozzle contour shape in CFD




Fig 7 Cubical parabolic contour pressure profile in CFD.




Fig 8 Cubical parabolic contour velocity profile in CFD




Fig 9 Vone mises stress.

3.3. Semi Cubical parabolic Profile




Fig 10. Semi Cubical parabolic nozzle contour shape in CFD profile




Fig 11 Semi Cubical parabolic contour pressure in CFD.




Fig 12 Semi Cubical parabolic contour velocity profile in CFD.






Fig 13 Vone mises stress.

IV. CONCLUSION


Sr No.	Profiles	Pressure difference	Velocity	Stress
1	Cubical Profile	5.56	3.173	120.35
2	Cubical Parabolic Profile	6.85	3.425	163.24
3	Semi Cubical Parabolic Profile	5.12	3.09	109.30



Graph 1 : Pressure diff. Vs Configuration



Graph 2 : Velocity. Vs Configuration



Graph 3 : Stress Vs Configuration

Three profiles of the nozzle surface contours using CFD for flow and structural analysis. All the cases are studies like their pressure and velocity profile coming from the output of CFD. And from structural analysis, vone misses stresses. Then comparing all three results, the **Semi cubical parabolic profile** is the best for structural integrity due to minimum stress developed. The Semi cubical profile produces better thrust compared to other profile with minimum thrust.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chen, C.L., Chakravarthy, S.R., Hung, C.M., 1994. Numerical investigation of separated nozzle flows. *AIAA Journal* 32 (9), 1836–1843.
- [2] A. N. Kraiko and a. A. Osipov on tm3 detonation of the shape of a supersonic Nozzle think into consideration the variation of Aircraft flight conditions *Pmm* vol. 34, nu6, 1970, pp. 1067-1075
- [3] Gearold R. Johnson,* H. Doyle Thompson'~ And Joe D. Hoffman “Design, of maximum thrust plug nozzles with variable inlet geometry” *Computers and Fluids*, Vol 2, pp 173-190 Pergamon Press, 1974 PrAted in Great Brltam
- [4] Trong T. Bui , James E. Murray. NASA Dryden Flight Research Center, Edwards, CA, 93523 Charles E. Rogers. Air Force Flight Test Center, Edwards Air Force Base, CA, 93523 Scott Bartel blacksky Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, 92008 and Anthony Cesaroni and Mike Dennett.. Cesaroni Technology/Cesaroni Aerospace, Sarasota, FL, 34243 “Flight Research of an Aerospike Nozzle Using High Power Solid Rockets” 41st AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference & Exhibit AIAA-2005-3797Tucson Convention Center, Tucson, Arizona July 10.13, 2005.
- [5] A. Balabel, A.M. Hegab, M. Nasr, Samy M. El-Behery* “Assessment of turbulence modeling for gas flow in two-dimensional convergent–divergent rocket nozzle” Contents lists available at Science Direct *Applied Mathematical Modelling* journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apm.
- [6] Mine Yumusak [2013] has presented a paper “Analysis and design optimization of solid rocket motors in viscous flows”*Computers & Fluids* 75 (2013) 22–34.