

International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development

Volume 5, Issue 03, March -2018

SUGGESTING SAFE ROUTES FOR EVACUATION DURING FLOOD SITUATION – A CASE STUDY OF SAVARKUNDLA TOWN

Mayank D Sanghani¹, Prof. V. R. Gor²

¹P.G. Student, Civil Engineering Department, Government Engineering College, Modasa ²Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Government Engineering College, Modasa

Abstract — Flood frequently occurs in every 2-3 years in Savarkundla region leading to many regional traffic problems. Evacuation of region on the riverbank is a crucial problem emphasized in past studies. To check the route availability for evaluation of flood affected area needs to be carried out.

In present study the solution for this disastrous flood situation in terms of safe routes for evacuation has to be suggested based on observed volume and recommended capacity for existing road network. The methodology includes calculation of probable submerged area from contour map and identification of safe routes from existing road network by using the observations of volume – capacity ratio of vehicles and pedestrians.

Keywords- Flood situation, evacuation, disasters, safe routes, evacuation analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

Disasters have always been a major concern for societies due to their impact on human life and activities. Floods may cause extended damages to infrastructures, loss of lives and disruption of human activities. The impact of disasters on society and the economy has increased in the recent years. Transportation networks are identified as critical lifelines in cases of disasters for a number of reasons: first, the transportation system will support evacuation, emergency response, and relief and recovery operations. Second, the transportation network will remain the sole means for ensuring physical access to the affected communities. Third, transportation infrastructures are highly prone to disasters and therefore their capacity and serviceability will be reduced following event. Evacuation is the rapid movement of people away from the immediate threat or impact of a disaster to a safer place of shelter.

II. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The traffic routing for problems of evacuation operation seeks to efficiently relocate the threatened/affected population to safe places using the available transportation network, thereby avoiding or mitigating potential loss of life due to disasters. Most current approaches to address evacuation operations focus on the traffic management aspects of the problem, where traffic-related network performance is of major concern.

Followings are the prime objectives of the present study:

- 1. To find most critical zones for evacuation.
- 2. To identify flood affected route.
- 3. To suggest safe route for evacuation.

III. METHODOLOGY

The present study is an attempt to determine safe route for evacuation based on observed volume and recommended capacity of existing road network by using Indo HCM 2017. Following important characteristics should be considered while selecting evacuation routes for safe passage of people.

- Shortest route to the designated destination areas
- Capacity of proposed routes to accommodate the mode of transportation to be used
- > Availability of infrastructure to disseminate real time conditions

Following are steps to be performed for determining safe routes.

- 1. To collect the data of submergence during flood situation using contour map & past HFL.
- 2. To identify flood affected zone in city.
- 3. To study about existing network in the flood affected zone
- 4. To ensure availability of sufficient of existing road network in the flood affected zone.
- 5. To suggest appropriate safe route for evacuation.

IV. STUDY AREA AND DATA COLLECTION



4.1. Study Area

Figure 1. Index Map of Savarkundla Town

Savarkundla is situated on the southern Saurashtra plateau. Savarkundla lies at 21.337 N 71.310°E in western India. Total density of the city geographical area of Savarkundla municipality is 8 km². Population is 9794 persons per km². According to the 2011 census, Savarkundla had a population of 78,354.

4.2. Data Collection

Road Inventory data, exiting traffic volume and pedestrian volume is collected manually. Traffic volume is converted into PCU/hr by using IRC-106[5]. Digitization of maps and preparation of contours is done using QGIS software, also record of past HFL is determined from HFL points marked at various location on field. Area under submergence for the selected study area is determined using past HFL data and contour maps. Figure 2. shows road and river map of Savarkundla.



Figure 2. Road and River Map of Savarkundla

Sr	Origin	Destinction	Width	Elevation	Length	No. Of
No.	Origin	Destination	(m)	(m)	(m)	Lanes
1	Khatarwadi	Pick up stand	14.8	125	330	4
2	Khatarwadi	Marutinagar	5.2	125	280	2
3	Khatarwadi	Upavan wadi	5.2	130	170	2
4	Khatarwadi	Bhuvaroad Bridge	8	124	52	2
5	Pick up stand	Nadi Bazar	11.3	127	150	3
6	Nadi Bazar	Shak market Bridge	6.6	126	19.6	2
7	Shak market Bridge	Kansara Bazar	4.5	130	170	1
8	Nadi Bazar	Main Bazar	5.5	129	170	2
9	Nadi Bazar	Ridhi Sidhi	11.3	132	450	3
10	Ridhi Sidhi	Juna Bus stand Bridge	9.6	133	26.5	2
11	Ridhi Sidhi	Mahuva Road Bridge	10	139	45.7	2
12	Ridhi Sidhi	Post office Road	9.2	137	160	2
13	Ridhi Sidhi	Akheda	11.3	140	290	3
14	Akheda	Gayatri Mandir Bridge	6.6	140	65.2	2
15	Gayatri Mandir Bridge	Nesadi Road	7.6	150	500	2
16	Gayatri Mandir Bridge	Modi School	7.6	142	100	2
17	Juna Bus stand	Jesar Road	14.8	140	650	4

Table 1. Road Inventory Data

Table 1. shows various origin and destination for selected study area. Also details of road width, road length and type of road (i.e. No. of lanes) and Elevation is shown. QGIS software is used for finding elevation points of various road.

V. DATA ANALYSIS

5.1. Calculation of Submerged area and time of concentration

Contour map is converted into nodes and are interpolated using Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) method in QGIS. Raster calculator tool is used for generating submerged area. The detail of submerged area is shown in figure 3.



Figure 3. Contour Map with past HFL location

Figure 4. Submerged area Map of Savarkundla

@IJAERD-2018, All rights Reserved

Time of concentration (Tc) is the time required for runoff to travel from the hydraulically most distant point in the watershed to the outlet. The hydraulically most distant point is the point with the longest travel time to the watershed outlet, and not necessarily the point with the longest flow distance to the outlet. In present study NRCS Lag Method [1] has been used.

Zone No.	Type of zone	Population	Area under Submergence (hectares)	HFL observed from past data (meter)	Time of Concentration (minutes)
1	Educational and commercial	667	1.79	139	142
2	Commercial	452	2.14	137	141
3	Commercial	523	3.97	134	131
4	Commercial and Residential	1145	6.19	128	123
5	Commercial	374	3.2	< 128	131
Total		3161	17.29		

Table 2.	Submerged	Area a	nd Time	of con	centration
1 uvic 2.	Submergeu	nicu u	mu I mic	$v_j con$	cenn anon

5.2. Route Availability

In figure 4 blue line shows available routes on existing road network and points with red marks shows safe location for evacuee of corresponding available routes.



Figure 5. Safe location for evacuees

	Table 3. Route Availability										
Zone (1)	Route no. (2)	Available Length from zone to safe place (m) (3)	Road width available (m) (4)	Sugge road fo moto veh (n (5	ested width or rized icle n) 5)	Sugg Wid foot (1 (gested Ith of tpath m) 6)	Distance to approach route from zone (m) (7)		Total Travel Distance for reaching to safe place (m) (8)	
1	5	671	11.3	9	5	1	.8	2	10		881
2	5	671	11.3	9	5	1	8	1	16	5 787	
	1	470	9.6	7	5		2	1	33		603
		207	9.0	7.	5		$\frac{2}{2}$	1	33		340
2	5	671	9.2	7.	5	1	2 0	1.	55		920 920
5	J 4	470	0.6			1	o	1	51 61	632	
	4	470	9.0	7.	5		2	1	61	631	
	0	207	9.2	1.		2	2	161			308
	25	304	3.2)	3	5.2 N 2	90		394	
	23	218	3.2	()	3	0.2	90			308
4	5	6/1	11.3	9.	.5	1	8	1	54		835
	4	4/0	9.6	/.	.5		2	10	54 64		034
	8	207	9.2	7.	.5		2	10	64 		371
	18	238	3.2	()	3	3.2	1	17		415
	25	304	3.2	()	3	3.2	10	05		409
	23	218	3.2	()	3	3.2	10	05		323
	28	199	3.2	0)	3	3.2	2:	50		449
	11	245	4.5	3.	.5		1	2:	50		495
	2	341	8	7.	.5	0).5	9	0		431
5	2	341	8	7.	.5	0).5	5	6		397
	1	352	14.8	12	8		2	5	6		408
			Recommended Capacity for suggested width of Footpath (PCU/hr) [IRC 103]		Observed Peak hour volume (11)						
Zone	Route no.	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9)	Capacity suggested of Footpat (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10)	for width th r) 3]	Obse	erved volu (1	Peak he ume 1)	our	Voluı sugge	me/ Ca ested 1 (1	apacity for road width 2)
Zone	Route no.	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle	Capacity suggested of Footpa (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri	for width th r) J3]	Obse Vehi (PC	erved voh (1 cle U)	Peak ho 1me 1) Pedes	our trian	Volui sugge Vehic (PC	me/ Casted 1 (1) cle U)	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian
Zone	Route no. 5	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680	Capacity suggested of Footpar (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500	for width th r))3]	Obse Vehi (PC) 268	erved volu (1 cle U) 5	Peak ho ime 1) Pedes	our trian 46	Volui sugge Vehic (PC) 1.0	me/ Carested 1 (1 cle U)	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian
Zone	Route no.	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680	Capacity suggested of Footpat (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 1500	for width th r) 03]	Vehi (PC) 268 268	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 5_	Peak ho ime 1) Pedes 14-	our trian 46 46	Volui sugge Vehi (PC) 1.0 1.0	me/ Ca ested 1 (1 cle U)	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110	Capacity suggested of Footpat (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 1500 2400	for width th r) 03] an	Vehi (PC) 268 268 185	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 5 0	Peak ho ime 1) Pedes 144 144 109	bur trian 46 46 90	Volui sugge Vehi (PC) 1.0 1.0 0.9	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5
Zone	Route no.	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2680 2110 2110	Capacity suggested of Footpar (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 1500 2400 2400	for width th r) J3] an	Obse Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 0 4	Peak ho ime 1) Pedes 144 144 100 144	trian 46 46 90 41_	Volui sugge Vehi (PCI 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2110 2680	Capacity suggested of Footpa (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 1500 2400 2400 1500	for width th r) J3]	Obse Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 0 4 5	Peak ho ime 1) Pedes 144 144 144 100 144 144	trian 46 46 90 41 46	Volui sugge Vehi (PC) 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 5 4	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2110 2680 2110	Capacity suggested of Footpat (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 2400 2400 1500 2400	for width th r))3]	Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 0 4 5 0 0	Peak ho ime 1) Pedess 144 144 100 144 144 100	trian 46 46 90 41 46 90	Volui sugge Vehic (PC) 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 0.9	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5
Zone	Route no.	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2110 2680 2110 2680 2110	Capacity suggested of Footpat (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 1500 2400 2400 1500 2400	for width th r) 03]	Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 0 4 5 0 4 0 4 2	Peak ho ime 1) Pedes 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	bur trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46	Volui sugge Vehi (PCV 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 0.9 0.9	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 25	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2110 2680 2110 2680 2110 200	Capacity suggested of Footpat (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 4800	for width th r) 03]	Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 185	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 5 5 0 5 5 5 5	Peak ho ime 1) Pedes 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 37	bur trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 2	Volui sugge Vehi (PC) 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2680 2110 2110 2000	Capacity suggested of Footpar (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2	for width th r) 93] an	Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 185 77	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 5	Peak ho ime 1) Pedess 144 144 144 100 144 144 100 144 37 20	bur trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 12 01	Volui sugge Vehi (PC) 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23 5	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 3110	Capacity suggested of Footpar (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2	for width th r) 03] an	Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 268 185 188 268 185 268	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 5 5 5	Peak ho ime 1) Pedes 144 144 100 144 144 100 144 377 200 144	bur trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 12 12 11 46	Volui sugge Vehi (PC) 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.8	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 1.0
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23 5 4	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2110 2110 2110 3180 2110	Capacity suggested of Footpar (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 4800 48	for width th r) 03] an	Obs Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 268 185 188 185 777 268 185	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 2 0 1 5 0 0 1 5 0 0 1 5 0 0 1 5 0 0 1 5 5 0 0 1 5 5 0 0 0 1 5 5 0 0 0 0	Peak hume 1) Pedess 144 144 144 144 100 144 144 100 144 144	trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 22 90 41 12 46 90	Volui sugge Vehic (PCI 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23 5 4 8	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2680 2110 2110 3180 2110 2110	Capacity suggested of Footpar (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2	for width th r) b3]	Obse Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 4	Peak ho ime 1) Pedes 144 144 109 144 149 144 377 200 144 109 144	bur trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 12 10 46 90 41 12 10 14 46 90 41 12 10 14 46 90 41 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	Volui sugge Vehie (PC) 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.1 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23 5 4 8 18	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2680 2110 2110 3180 2110 2110	Capacity suggested of Footpat (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2	for width th r) 03]	Obse Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 137	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 7	Peak ho ime 1) Pedes 144 144 144 100 144 37 200 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 12	bur trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 12 11 46 90 41 12 11 146 90 41 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Volui sugge Vehie (PCV 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23 5 4 8 18 25	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2680 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900	Capacity suggested of Footpat (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2	for width th r) 03] an	Obse Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188	erved volt (1 cle U) 5 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 5	Peak ho ime 1) Pedess 144 144 144 100 144 377 200 144 100 144 100 144 377 200 144 377 200 144 377 200	bur trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 22 11 46 90 41 20 12 22 22	Volui sugge Vehi (PC) 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6
Zone	Route no. 5 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23 5 4 8 18 25 23	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2110 2110 3180 2110 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900	Capacity suggested of Footpar (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2	for width th r) 03] an	Obse Vehi (PC) 268 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 137 268 185 777	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 4 5 0 4 4 5 5 0 4 4 5 5 0 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 5	Peak hume 1) Pedess 144 144 100 1144 100 100	bur trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 2 90 41 46 90 41 10 12 10 12 11	Volui sugge Vehi (PC) 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23 5 4 8 18 25 23 23 28	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2680 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900	Capacity suggested of Footpar (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2	for width th r) 03] an	Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 777 268 185 188	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 4 7 5 2	Peak hume 1) Pedess 144 144 144 100 144 144	trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 40 90 41 40 90 41 1 90 41 1 90 41 1 90 41 1 90 41 1 90 41 1 90 41 1 90 41 1 90 41 40 41 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	Volui sugge Vehi (PC) 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.6 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23 5 4 8 18 25 23 23 28 11	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2680 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 900	Capacity suggested of Footpar (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2	for width th r) 03] an	Obs Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 185	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 5 0 4 7 5 0 4 7 5 1 2 4	Peak hume 1) Pedess 144 144 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 144	trian 46 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 42 90 41 42 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 42	Volui sugge Vehie (PC) 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.4 0.4	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23 5 4 8 18 25 23 28 11 2	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2680 2110 2680 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 91266 2110	Capacity suggested of Footpar (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2	for width th r) 03]	Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 137 268 185 188 5 188 5 188 5 185 5 5 5 6 8 5 77 268 5 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 268 5 77 265 5 5 77 265 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	erved volu (1 cle U) 5 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 7 5 5 0 4 7 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 0 2 4 5 5 5 5 0 2 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Peak ho ime 1) Pedess 144 144 144 100 144 377 200 144 100 144 122 377 200 49 49 47 20	bur trian 46 46 90 41 46 90 41 2 11 46 90 41 2 11 46 90 41 2 11 46 90 41 2 11 46 11	Volui sugge Vehi (PCV 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.3	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0
Zone	Route no. 5 5 4 8 5 4 8 25 23 5 4 8 18 25 23 5 4 8 18 25 23 28 11 2 2	Recommended Capacity for suggested road width (PCU/hr) [Indo HCM] (9) Vehicle 2680 2680 2110 2680 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110 2110	Capacity suggested of Footpat (PCU/h [IRC 10 (10) Pedestri 1500 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2400 2	for width th r) 03] an	Vehi (PC) 268 268 185 188 268 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 185 188 5 185 18	erved volt (1 cle U) 5 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 0 4 5 5 5 5	Peak ho ime 1) Pedess 144 144 144 100 144 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 100 144 144	trian 46 46 46 46 90 41 2 11 46 90 41 2 11 46 90 41 2 11 46 90 41 2 11 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 46 90 41 41 42 11 41 42 41 43 44 44 45 46 46 47 48 49 49 44 44	Volui sugge Vehi (PCV 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3	me/ C: ested 1 (1 cle U)))))))))))))))))))	apacity for road width 2) Pedestrian 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.1 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Route availability data is prepared based on road network map, submerged area detail and observed volume on existing road network showing in Table3. Recommended capacity for suggested road width is taken as per Indo HCM [3]. Recommended capacity for suggested width of footpath is taken as per IRC-103[4]. Volume/Capacity ratio for suggested road width is determined by using observed peak hour volume on existing road network.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

It is concluded from table 2 that population of various zones varies from 372 to 1142, which may be Educational, commercial or residential. The zone wise area of submergence varies between 1.79 hectares to 6.19 hectares. Also it is observed that HFL lies between the range of 128 and 139 meters. Time of concentration is referred as minimum time available for evacuation within range of 123 to 142 minutes. The safe routes selected are taken based on the study of observed volume and existing capacity and also available distance to reach safe place.

From table 3 it is clear that for evacuation both vehicles and pedestrian volume are considered. V/C for both vehicles and pedestrian are determined for selection of safe routes for various zones. It is found that route no. 5, 4 and 8 have V/C nearer to 1, which are very congested for traffic operation, so for increasing the capacity, such routes are provided with extra lane for safely evacuating the people during flood situation.

Other route having v/c less than 1 and width of road less than 4m can be used for safe passing of pedestrians

VII. REFERENCES

- [1] Design Manual Chapter 2 Stormwater 2B Urban Hydrology and Runoff
- [2] Guo Li, Lijun Zhang and Zhaohua Wang," Optimization and Planning of Emergency Evacuation Routes Considering Traffic Control" The Scientific World Journal Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 164031.
- [3] Indo HCM 2017
- [4] IRC 103:1988, Guidelines for pedestrian facilities
- [5] IRC-106:1990, Guidelines for capacity of roads in urban area.
- [6] Kayoko Yamamoto and Ximing Li," Safety Evaluation of Evacuation Routes in Central Tokyo Assuming a Large-Scale Evacuation in Case of Earthquake Disasters" 27 June 2017, Journal of Risk and Financial Management, JAPAN.
- [7] Maria A. Konstantinidou, Konstantinos L. Kepaptsoglou and Matthew G. Karlaftis," Transportation Network Post-Disaster Planning and Management" International Journal of Transportation Vol.2, No.3 (2014), ISSN: 2287-7940.
- [8] Vania Campos, Renata Bandeira and Adriano Bandeira," A method for evacuation route planning in disaster situations" October 2012, Science direct 1877-0428.
- [9] Yu-Ting Hsu and Srinivas Peeta," Clearance Time Estimation for Incorporating Evacuation Risk in Routing Strategies for Evacuation Operations" September 2015, Springer, DOI: 10.1007/s11067-013-9195-5, USA.