Scientific Journal of Impact Factor(SJIF): 3.134

e-ISSN(O): 2348-4470
p-ISSN(P): 2348-6406

International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development

Volume 2,Issue 3, March -2015

Morphometric Analysis of Madurai Basin –A case study of Cauvery sub watershed region of Tamil Nadu -India.

[1.] Sandeep A Meshram-Associate Professor in Geology; College of Engineering (COEP)-Pune
[2.] Dr S P Khadse--Associate Professor in Geology; Science College -Nagpur

Abstract-- The morphometric analysis of the drainage basin and channel network play a vital role in understanding the hydro-geological behavior of drainage basin and expresses the prevailing climate, geology, geomorphology and structure. It provides a quantitative description of the basin geometry to understand its slope, structural controls, geological and geomorphic history of drainage basin. In the present case study, geomorphometric analysis of Madurai drainage basins (A part of Cauvery sub watershed region of Tamil Nadu in India) investigates the influence of relief, slope, geologic structure, tectonic uplift and climate change on basin geometry, pattern of drainage network, landform evolution and basin hydrology. The geomorphometric analysis includes quantitative measurement and analysis of topography and drainage characteristics like, altitude variation (relief and slope), channel network morphometry (stream order, stream number, stream length, bifurcation ratio etc.) and drainage basin morphometry (drainage density and frequency, basin shape, drainage texture, constant of channel maintenance etc.). On the basis of stream order, stream number, stream length and bifurcation ratio, the stream denotes the normal geomorphic characteristic i.e. order wise the number, length and bifurcation ratio is decreasing which is a clear indication of normal tectonically undisturbed landform. The drainage frequency and drainage density values show little bit abnormality i.e. for the range of 2.1 to 4.0 and 2 – 4 for drainage density and drainage frequency respectively, hike in the area as compared to the lower and higher range is the important point of study of this particular category. The absolute relief indicates the abnormality in the second category which ranges from 2.1 to 3 towards the higher order. In addition to this the absolute relief values for category 4, 5 and 6are decreasing. The kinds of lower and higher repetition indicate the influence of the tectonic activity in the study area. Geomorphologically the terrain occupies very little high relief area where steep slope occurs, while rest of the area shows the normal landform conditions.

Key words- *morphometry; watershed; drainage basin; aerial and linear parameters.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Geomorphology is the study of landforms and landscapes, including the description, classification, origin, development, and history of planetary surfaces.. Some geomorphologist held to a geological basis for Physiography and emphasized a concept of physiographic regions (Fenneman, 1938). A conflicting trend among geographers was to equate physiography with "pure morphology," divorced of its geological heritage. In the period following World War II, the emergence of process, climatic, and quantitative studies led to a preference by many Earth scientists for the term "geomorphology" in order to suggest an analytical approach to landscapes rather than a descriptive one.

Morphometry may be defined as the measurements and mathematical analysis of the configuration of the earth's surface and of the shape and dimensions of its landforms (Clarke, 1996 and Ajibade et al 2010). A major emphasis in geomorphology over the past several decades has been on the development of quantitative physiographic methods to describe the evolution and behavior of surface drainage networks (Horton, 1945). The morphometric analysis of the drainage basin and channel network play a vital role in understanding the hydro-geological behavior of drainage basin and expresses the prevailing climate, geology, geomorphology and structure. It provides a quantitative description of the basin geometry to understand its slope, structural controls, geological and geomorphic history of drainage basin (Strahler,1964). River basins comprise a distinct morphologic region and have special relevance to drainage pattern and geomorphology (Strahler,1954). The total development of a region is a sum total development of sub basins of which it is composed. So by analyzing the development of each of the sub basins one can have a better understanding of the landscape of the terrain (Prakash Rao, et al 2010).

@IJAERD-2015, All rights Reserved

Morphometry represents the topographical expression of land by way of area, slope, shape, length, etc. (Ajibade, 2010). These parameters affect catchment streamflow pattern through their influence on concentration time. The significance of these landscape parameters was earlier pointed out by Morisawa (1959), who observed that stream flow can be expressed as a general function of geomorphology of a watershed. Jain and Sinha (2003), who reported that the geomorphic characteristics of a drainage basins play a key-role in controlling the basins hydrology. Morphometric analysis of drainage basins thus provides not only an elegant description of the landscape, but also serve as a powerful means of comparing the form and process of drainage basins that may be widely separated in space and time (Easterbrook, 1993).

Quantitative Geographic Analysis

Quantitative measurements of morphometric parameters of basin and sub basins have raised attraction of research in geology, geomorphology and hydrology since Horton's period.. The geomorphometric analysis of drainage basins investigates the influence of relief, slope, geologic structure, tectonic uplift and climate change on basin geometry, pattern of drainage network, landform evolution and basin hydrology. The geomorphometric analysis includes quantitative measurement and analysis of topography and drainage characteristics like, altitude variation (relief and slope), channel network morphometry (stream order, stream number, stream length, bifurcation ratio etc.) and drainage basin morphometry (drainage density and frequency, basin shape, drainage texture, constant of channel maintenance etc.). The morphometric analysis is significantly used for various small river basins and sub basins in the various parts of the world (Horton 1945; Strahler 1952a, 1957, 1958, 1964; Miller 1953; Leopold and Miller 1956; Morisawa 1959; Shreve 1967, 1974; Scheidegger 1967; Smart 1968; Gardiner 1980**;** Tokunaga 1978**;** Dodds and Rothman 1999). The drainage basin morphometry helps to analyze and compare the form and processes of landscape evolution irrespective of time and space (Easthernbrook 1993). The relational analyses between various morphometric parameters give us clue about stages of landscape development (Sabale, 2006).

Quantitative estimation of morphometric parameters are computed from drainage maps following the procedures proposed by Horton (1945); Strahler (1952, 1968); Schumm (1932); Smart and Surkan (1967); Avena et al. (1967); Waugh (1995); Gupta (1999); El Hamdouni (2007)**;** Guarnieri et al. (2008); Thomas et al., (1996); Dehbozorgi et al. (2010), EllanWohl(2014); BakerVR(2014)**.** In our study area, Madurai Basin, the Geomorphometric analysis of the drainage basin play a vital role in understanding the hydro-geological behavior of drainage basin and expresses the prevailing climate, geology, geomorphology and structure. It provides a quantitative description of the basin geometry to understand its slope, structural controls, geological and geomorphic history of drainage basin. The study of the present drainage basin investigates the influence of relief, slope, geologic structure, tectonic uplift and climate change on basin geometry, pattern of drainage network, landform evolution and basin hydrology. The geomorphometric analysis includes quantitative measurement and analysis of topography and drainage characteristics like, altitude variation (relief and slope), channel network morphometry (stream order, stream number, stream length, bifurcation ratio etc.) and drainage basin morphometry (drainage density and frequency, basin shape, drainage texture, constant of channel maintenance etc.).

@IJAERD-2015, All rights Reserved



Map showing Geology of the Study Area

Observations of Quantitative Geomorphology in the study area

a) Stream order *(U)* indicates the hierarchical position of stream segments in the drainage network. Strahler's method of drainage ordering (Strahler, 1964) has been adopted for this study. The designation of stream order is the first step in the drainage basin analysis. The primary step in drainage basin analysis is to designate stream orders. As per the Strahler's (1964) ordering scheme, the study area is a 7th order drainage basin as shown in Figure . Higher stream order is associated with greater discharge. The trunk stream, through which all discharge of water and sediment passes is therefore the stream segment of highest order.

B) Stream number (*Nu*) is assigned as the total number of stream segments of each order. In the study basin *Nu* of first, second, third, fourth, fifth, and sixth order streams are 3259, 526, 100, 30, 8 and 1 respectively

c) Basin length (*L*) is the longest dimension of the basin parallel to the principal drainage line (Schumm, 1956). The basin length of Madurai basin is 8863.56 m. *L* of all sub basins.

d) The bifurcation ratio (*Rb*) is the ratio between stream numbers of an order and its next higher order (Horton, 1945).

e) Stream length ratio (*RL*) is the ratio between mean stream length of a given order and its next lower order.

Sr. No.	**Stream Order (U)**	**Stream Number (Nu)**	**Stream Length (L)**	**Bifurcation Ratio (Rb)**
1.	I^{st}	3259	6465.73	6.174
2.	II^{nd}	526	1366.31	5.207
3.	III^{rd}	100	550.72	3.225
4.	IV^{th}	30	387.3	3.33
5.	V^{th}	8	93.5	4.000
6.	VI^{th}	1		0.5

Table 1: Stream Length Ratio of the Study Area

The number of stream segments counted in each area has been shown in table No. 1. This table shows that in the case of each first order stream total stream numbers are maximum, while it is decreases with as the order increases.

@IJAERD-2015, All rights Reserved



Figure 1 Stream Order V/s Stream Number

The graph which is plotted steam order verses number of stream indicates that the number of streams decreases as the order of stream increases and vice versa. As per the stream length is concern, as the order goes on increasing the length decreases and vice versa i.e. the first order stream shows maximum length while last order stream shows the minimum length.

Drainage density (*Dd*)

Expresses total stream length per unit drainage area. It depends on climate (mainly rainfall), geology, vegetation cover, erosivity, infiltration capacity and permeability of underlying rock and soil, relief and slope aspect of the basin (Horton, 1932; Strahler, 1964; Morisawa, 1968; Verstappen, 1983). Drainage density are quietly depends on the stage of evolution. With the progress of youth stage towards maturity, *Dd* increases rapidly; while towards old stage it decreases.

Usually the drainage density factor determines the travel time of water. The measurement of the same is 'Dd' which is the numerical measure of land form dissection and run-off potential. As the drainage density value increase the rate of dissection is increase. Resulting the rate of flow of sediment increase. Therefore the intensity of this factor is depend upon the rate of precipitation, their duration, slope, type of rock and their properties, vegetation and environment. In this way the hydrolog y of the particular basin changes effectively in response to the change in drainage density.

Sr. No.	**Range**	**Area in Sq. Km.**	**% of Area**	**Cumulative % of Area**	**Remark**
1.	Less Than 2	539	25.55	25.55	Very Low
2.	2.1 – 4.0	659	31.24	56.79	Low
3.	4.1 – 6.0	559	26.50	83.29	Moderately Low
4.	6.1 – 8.0	292	13.84	97.13	Moderate
5.	8.1 – 10	58	2.75	99.88	Moderately High
6.	Greater Than 10	2	0.094	99.97	High
	Total	2109	100	100	

Table 2: Drainage Density of the Study Area

The Drainage Density of the study area showed in Table 2. The data in the table indicates that low drainage density (2.1 – 4.0) and Moderate Low (4.1 – 6.0) group dominate this study area and both of these groups share two group about 57.74 percentage of the total.
For addition to this the south western and northern part of the study area shows high absolute relief values, while the central and eastern part is composed of low absolute relief values. Comparatively medium value is present in between High and Low absolute relief areas.

Drainage Frequency

As stated above, the drainage frequency is the total number of stream segment of all orders per unit area (Horton, 1932). In an individual basin it might to be possible to have basins of some drainage density with differing frequency and basin of the

@IJAERD-2015, All rights Reserved

same stream frequency which differing in drainage density. The drainage frequency is defined as, the ration between number of stream segment per unit area & in formula it is expressed as

$$DF = \sum N/A$$

Where $\sum N$ = Total number of stream segments
A = Unit area in Km^2 or Mt^2

A measure of topographic texture expressed as the ratio of the number of streams in a drainage basin to the area of the basin. It is the number of stream segments per unit area.

Sr. No.	**Range**	**Area in Sq. Km.**	**% of Area**	**Cumulative % of Area**	**Remark**
1.	Less Than 2	682	23.59	23.59	Very Low
2.	2 – 4	1719	59.46	83.05	Low
3.	4 – 6	372	12.86	95.91	Moderately Low
4.	6 – 8	100	3.45	99.36	Moderate
5.	8 – 10	14	0.48	99.84	Moderately High
6.	Greater Than 10	4	0.13	99.99	High
	Total	2891	100	100	

Table 3: Drainage Frequency of the study area

The Drainage frequency in Table 3 indicates that very low frequency (Less than 2) and Low (2 – 4) group dominates with in this the study area and both of these groups share two groups almost 86 percentage of the total.

The south western and central part of the study area i.e. towards the downstream Portion it shows high drainage frequency value while the extreme northern side to North Eastern Area shows comparatively less drainage frequency value. Over all in the source region, low frequency is seen while in the downstream area higher frequency of the stream is observed.

Relative relief (*Rr*)

Relative relief is one of the most significant geomorphic variables which is used for overall assessment of morphological characteristics of a terrain and for assessing the degree of dissection of a terrain. Relative relief is also termed as relative altitude, topographic relief, and amplitude of available relief, local relief. Lithology, structure, slope, climatic parameters, geomorphic process and vegetal cover etc influence variation in the values of relative relief. It helps in finding out the terrain characteristics and their significance with the controlling factors.In short it is the difference of elevation between highest and lowest points in a unit area. It may be in the form of grid, square, rectangle, or a minute grid square.It is one of the important morphometric variable which is used for the overall assessment of morphological characteristics of the terrain and it's degree of dissection (Singh, 2001).
The relative relief (or basin relief) of Madurai basin is about 2341 Sq. Km. In the square sized grids, *Rr* ranges from 0 to 3 m.

Sr. No.	**Range**	**Area in Sq. Km.**	**% of Area**	**Cumulative % of Area**	**Remark**
1.	0 – 1.99	2119	90.51	90.51	Low
2.	2 – 2.99	216	9.22	99.73	Medium
3.	Above 3	6	0.025	99.75	High
	Total	2341	100	100	

Table 4: Relative Relief of Study Area

The relative relief data presented in the table shows majority dominancy of low (0 – 1.99) of 90.51% and Medium (2 – 2.99) of 9.22%. These two covers the largest area i.e. total of 99.73% cumulative area. Distribution of these relative relief indicate stage of Topography.

@IJAERD-2015, All rights Reserved

In the study area high relative relief is observed in the source areas of it's network tributaries i.e. in the peripheral areas, while its low value is present in the central and eastern portion.

Absolute Relief (Ar)

It is a maximum elevation of a unit area. It provides an idea about the distribution of relief over the land. Generally, the absolute relief is used in the delineation of terrain morphology, which throws light on the structural and erosional characteristics of the region. It has received much significance particularly in land use, vegetation and resource planning, field study of this subject.

Sr. No.	Range	Area in Sq. Km.	% of Area	Cumulative % of Area	Remark
1.	Less Than 2	53	3.235	3.235	Very Low
2.	2.1 – 3	583	35.59	38.82	Low
3.	3.1 – 4	417	25.45	64.27	Moderately Low
4.	4.1 – 5	203	12.39	76.66	Moderate
5.	5.1 – 6	219	13.36	90.025	Moderately High
6.	Greater Than 6	163	9.51	99.53	High
	Total	1638	100	100	

Table 5: Absolute Relief of the Study Area

The absolute relief table shows that the attitudinal group of low absolute relief value ranging from (2.1 – 3) and moderate relief (3.1 – 4) respectively. These two groups cover an area of 35.59 % and 25.45 % respectively. Therefore they cover largest area of 61.04 % of the total.

The south western and northern part of the study area shows high absolute relief values, while the central and eastern part is composed of low absolute relief values. Comparatively, medium value is present in between high and low absolute relief areas.

Dissection index (*DI*)

It is the ratio between relative relief and absolute relief, gives a better understanding of the landscape. The sharpness of terrain character of an area cannot be expressed adequately by interpreting the absolute relief and relative relief separately. This can be obtained by the following formula.

$$\% \text{ Dissection Index} = \frac{\text{Relative relief (Rr)}}{\text{Absolute relief (Ar)}} \times 100$$

It is the index of the degree to which dissection has advanced. In other words, it expresses the relation between the vertical distance of relief from the erosion level and relative relief.

This parameter implies the degree of dissection or vertical erosion and expounds the stages of terrain or landscape development in any given physiographic region or basin .

It is the ratio between relative relief and absolute relief of an area. It is an important relief parameter that indicates the nature and magnitude of dissection of a terrain (Sinha, 1996).

Sr. No.	Range	Area in Sq. Km.	% of Area	Cumulative % of Area	Remark
1.	Less Than 1.5	1654	74.10	74.10	Very Low
2.	1.5 – 3.0	0	0	74.10	Low
3.	3.1 – 4.5	18	0.80	74.9	Moderately Low
4.	4.51 – 6.0	341	15.27	90.17	Moderate
5.	6.01 – 7.5	157	7.03	97.2	Moderately High
6.	Greater Than 7.5	62	2.77	99.97	High

	Total	2232	100	100	

Table 6: Dissection Index of the Study Area

The dissection Index data which shows in table 6 is divided into six category such as very low, low, moderately low, moderate, moderately high and high. The range of data start from less than 1.5 to greater than 7.5 It is observed that the first group less that 1.5 and other group of range 4.51 – 6.0 having 74.10 % and 15.27 % of the area respectively covers the 89.27 % of the total.

In the study area, more dissection is observed in western, northern and southern part of the basin i.e. towards the source and outlet areas. While in the central and eastern part of the study area show comparatively less dissection. This is due to the favorable slope in the peripheral areas which enables weathering and erosion of the rocks.

Drainage Slope:

Slopes of any area directly give the geomorphic impression of the terrain Slopes which are significant morphometric attributes in the study of landforms of a drainage basin (Singh and R. Srivastava 1975)
Slope defined as an angular inclination of terrain between hill tops and valley bottoms, resulting from the combination of many causative factors like geological structure, absolute and relative relief, climate, vegetation cover, drainage texture drainage frequency and percentage dissection index etc.

Sr. No.	Range	Area in Sq. Km.	% of Area	Cumulative % of Area	Remark
1.	0 – 0.031	2308	88.15	88.15	Low
2.	0.032 – 0.062	307	11.72	99.87	Medium
3.	Greater than 0.063	3	0.11	99.98	High
	Total	2618	100	100	

Table 7: Drainage Slope of the Study Area

For the detailed study the drainage slope is divided in to 5 categories such as very low, low, medium, high and very high. Out of this low (0.032 – 1) and medium (11.72) covers 99.87 % of the total area in the present study area.

This data indicates the central – western portion of the study areas shows steep gradient of slope while the northern and eastern areas show a comparatively less gradient. In short the slope is increasing along the downstream channel of the river, in the study area.



Figure 2 Drainage Density



Figure 3 Drainage Frequency

@IJAERD-2015, All rights Reserved



Figure 4 Relative Relief



Figure 5 Absolute Relief



Figure 6 Dissection Index

Figure 7 Drainage Slope

II. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The morphometric analysis of basin is an important factor to understand the landscape characteristic. Morphometry, landform and topography play an important role in understanding the hydrological response of any watershed. These morphometric indices such as stream order, stream number, stream length, bifurcation ratio, drainage density, drainage frequency, slope, dissection index, elongation ratio, circularity ratio, drainage texture, absolute relief and relative relief are the core fundamental factors on which quantitative geomorphology stands.

By knowing the importance of the same in the present context, the work is concerned with the same. The main purpose/aim behind this is to find out the evolution of the landscape in the basin area. To fulfill these conditions the above mentioned factors were quantitatively studied by using the standard methods given by various workers such as Horton(1945), Ollier(1981), Miller(1991), Morisawa(1959).

On the basis of stream order, stream number, stream length and bifurcation ratio, the stream denotes the normal geomorphic characteristic i.e. orderwise the number, length and bifurcation ratio is decreasing which is a clear indication of normal tectonically undisturbed landform.

@IJAERD-2015, All rights Reserved

The drainage frequency and drainage density values show little bit abnormality i.e. for the range of 2.1 to 4.0 and 2 – 4 for drainage density and drainage frequency respectively, hike in the area as compared to the lower and higher range is the important point of study of this particular category. Except this, all the other readings are indicating the normal drainage characters of the basin. This abnormality might be due to slightly neotechtonic deformation or change in the rate of weathering in this particular zone.

The absolute relief which defines the maximum elevation of an unit area, indicates the abnormality in the second category which ranges from 2.1 to 3 towards the higher order. In addition to this the absolute relief values for category 4, 5 and 6are decreasing. The kinds of lower and higher repetition indicate the influence of the tectonic activity in the study area.

The dissection index is the important relief parameter which indicates the nature and magnitude of the dissection of a terrain. The value of this factor also indicate alternative high and low grade. This might indicate the change in lithology, surface texture, vegetation, land use – land cover, climate etc. factors at different places along the channel of the particular stream.

REFERENCES

[1] Ajibade LT, LP Ifabiyi, KA Iroye, S Ogunteru, 2010; Morphometric Analysis of Ogunpa and Ogbere Drainage Basins, Ibadan, Nigeria Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management Vol 3, No 1.
[2] Avena, G.C., Giuliano, G., Palmieri, E.L., 1967. Quantitative evaluation of the hierachization and evolution of drainage networks. Bull Soc Geol Italy 86. pp. 781–796.
[3] Baker V. R. 2014; Planetary geomorphology: Some historical / analytical perspective. GEOMOR.-04852. pp: 10.
[4] Clarke, J.I. (1996) Morphometry from Maps. Essays in geomorphology. Elsevier Publications, New York. pp. 235-274.
[5] Dehbozorgi M, Pourkermani M, Arian M, A.A. Matkan, H. Motamedi, A. Hosseiniasl, 2010; Quantitative analysis of relative tectonic activity in the Sarvestan area, central Zagros, Iran.
[6] Dodds, P.S. and Rothman Daniel H, 1999; Unified View of Scaling Laws for River Networks.
[7] Easthernbrook, D.J. 1993; Surface Processes and Landforms, Macmillian Publishing Co., New York.
[8] El Hamdouni, R., Irigaray, C., Fernandez, T., Chacón, J., Keller, E.A., 2007; Assessment of relative active tectonics, southwest border of Sierra Nevada (southern Spain). Geomorphology 96, 150–173.
[9] Ellen Wohl, 2014; Time and river following: Fluvial geomorphology since 1960. Vol. 2016, pp: 263-282.
[10] Fenneman, N.M. (Ed.), 1938. Physiography of Eastern United States. McGraw-Hill, New York.
[11] Gardiner, V., 1980. Drainage basin morphometry: Quantitative analysis of drainage basin form. In H.S. Sharma (eds.). Prospective in geomorphology, V.2, pp 107-142.
[12] Gupta, B.L., 1999, Engineering Hydrology, 3rd Ed. Runoff, pp. 46-56. 239
[13] Guarnieri A. 2008; Universitá di Bologna, Via Ranzani 1 - 40126, Bologna, Italy.
[14] Horton, R.E., 1932, Drainage basin characteristics. Transaction of American Geological Union,13, pp. 350-361.
[15] Horton, R.E., 1945. Erosional development of streams and their drainage basins: Hydrophysical approach to quantitative morphology. Bull. Geol. Soc. Amer.,V.56, pp.275-370.
[16] Jain, V. and Sinha, R., 2003. Hyperavulsive-anabranching Bhagmati river system, north Bihar plains, eastern India. Geomorphology., V.47(1), pp.101-116. 241.
[17] Leopold, L. B., and Miller, J. P., 1956; Ephemeral streams-Hydraulic factors and their relation to the drainage net: U.S. Geol. Survey Prof. Paper 282-A, p. 1-36.
[18] Miller, V.C., 1953; A Quantitative Geomorphic Study of drainage basin characteristics in the clinch Mountain Area, Virginia Tennessee Project NR 389-042. Tech.Report 3, Colombia Uni. Dept. of Geol. ONR. Geography Branch, New York.
[19] Miller, J.R., 1991. The influence of bedrock geology on knick point development and channel bed degradation along down-cutting streams in south central Indiana, Journal of Geology, V.99, pp.591-605.
[20] Morisawa, M E., 1959. Relation of morphometric properties to runoff in the Little Mill Creek, Ohio, drainge basin. Tech. rep. 17. Columbia University, Department of Geology, ONR, New York.
[21] Morris, P.H., Williams, D.J., 1999. Worldwide correlations for aerial aqueous flows with exponential longitudinal profiles. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, V-24, pp. 867-879.
[22] Prakasa Rao, B S, Vasudevarao P H, Jaisankar, G , Amminedu, E, Satyakumar, M and KoteswaraRao,P, 2010; Interlinking of River Basins- A mega Harvesting plan – A Review-Journal of Ind.Geophysc Vol14 No11, (2010), pp 31-46.

@IJAERD-2015, All rights Reserved

[23] Ollier C, 1981 Tectonic and Geomorphology of Eastern Highlands, Longman publication group, London.
[24] Rao, D.P., 1984. Geomorphological techniques in groundwater exploration. Proc. Int. Workshop on rural hydrology and hydraulics in Fissured basement zones, Silver Jubilee Year, Dept. of Erath Sciences, University of Roorkee, pp.29-37.
[25] Sabale P D, 2006, Morphotechtronic and Gravity Studies of Man River from southern Deccan Volcanic Province. An unpublished PhD Thesis, Shivaji University, Kolhapur.
[26] Scheidegger, A.E., 1991. Theoretical geomorphology, Springer, Berlin.
[27] Scheidegger, A.E., 2004. Morphotectonics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 197p.
[28] Schumn, S.A. (1932) Evolution of Drainage systems and slopes in Badlansat Perth Amboy, New Jersy. Geological Society of America, Bulletin 67: 597-646.
[29] Schumm, S.A., 1956; Evolution of drainage systems and slopes in Bad lands at Perth Amboy, New Jersey, Bull. Geol.Soc. Am. 67, pp 596 to 646.
[30] Shreve R.L., 1967; Infinite topologically random channel networks. J. Geol.75, 178-186.
[31] Sherve R.L., 1974; Variation of main stream length with basin area in river networks. Water Resour. Res. 10. 1167 – 1177.
[32] Singh, P. and Rajamani, V, 2001; Geochemistry of the Kaveri flood plain sediments, Southern India.Journal of Sedimentary Research. V 71(1), (2001) p.50-60.
[33] Singh, S. and Srivastava, R. 1975; 'Morphometric determinants of the Stage of Cycle of erosion, The Geographical Observer, 12, pp. 29-40.
[34] Sinha, S.K. and Parker, G., 1996, Causes of concavity in longitudinal profiles of rivers: Water Resources Research, V. 32, pp. 1417–1428.
[35] Smart, J.S and Surkan, A.J., 1967. The relation between mainstream length and area in drainage basins. Water Resource Research. V.3 (4), pp.963-974
[36] Strahler, A.N., 1954. Statistical analysis in geomorphic research. Journal of Geology, 62, pp. 1-25.
[37] Strahler, A.N., 1964. Quantitative Geomorphology of Drainage Basin sand channel networks, Sec 4II, in Handbook of Applied Hydrology, Edited by V.T,Chow Mc GrawHill:439.
[38] Tokunaga, E., 1978; Consideration on the composition of drainage networks and their evolution.Geog. Rep. Tokyo Metrop. Univ. 13, 1–27.
[39] Thomas, D.M., Paillet, F.L., and Conrad, M.E., 1996; Hydrogeology of the Hawaii Scientific Drilling Project borehole KP-1, 2. Groundwater geochemistry and regional flow patterns: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 101, no. B5, p. 11,683-11,694.
[40] Verstappen, H. Th., 1983. Applied geomorphology - geomorphological surveys for environmental development. New York: Elsevier, pp. 57-83. 257.
[41] Waugh, D., 1995, Geography: An integrated approach. 2nd Ed. Chapter-3, Morphometry of drainage basins, pp.51-62.

@IJAERD-2015, All rights Reserved