

A. C.D. Budh and N.R. Warhade, “EFFECT OF MOLARITY ON COMPRESSION OF GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE, ” INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, VOL,5, NUMBER 1 (2014)

C.D. Budh and N.R. Warhade, “Effect of Molarity on Compression of Geopolymer Concrete” they investigated the effect of Molarity on compression strength of geopolymer concrete. Test has been carried out on 70mm *70mm cubes of geopolymer concrete specimen with different Molarity like 8M, 10M, 12M, 14M. The ratio of alkali liquid to fly ash is taken as 0.5 and curing temperature maintained at 85c for 48 hour in hot air oven. The compression strength of each sample has been carried out and validated by NDT results. They had found out that molarity increase the compression strength and UPV also increase. The UPV result for different molarity are 2.48km/s, 2.58km/s, 2.64km/s, 2.85km/s and compression strength are 14.34N/mm², 19.67N/mm², 22.00N/mm², 24.67N/mm².

Table.1 Ultra sonic pulse velocity after 48hours of hot curing at 85c.

Sample	8M	10M	12M	14M
UPV(km/s)	2.48	2.58	2.64	2.85

Table.2 Compression strength of geopolymer concrete at 48 hours.

Samples	8M	10M	12M	14M
COMPRESSION STRENGTH(N/mm ²)	14.34	19.67	22.00	24.67

B. AMOL A. PATIL, H.S. CHORE AND P.A. DODE, “INVESTIGATED THE EFFECT OF CURING CONDITION ON STRENGTH OF GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE”, ADVANCE IN CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION, VOL.2, NO.1 (2014)

AMOL A. PATIL, H.S. CHORE AND P.A. DODE “Effect of curing condition on strength of geopolymer concrete” In these experiment concrete cubes were casted and cured for 7 and 28 days for Ambient curing and Hot curing. They concluded from the result that compression strength is on higher side with respect to Hot curing as compared to Ambient curing.

Table. 3 Value of compression strength(N/mm²) for various curing conditions

Curing period	Ambient curing	Hot curing
7 days	3.8	26.18
	4.1	25.45
	3.9	26.64
28 days	17.34	36.12
	17.6	35.98
	17.56	36.48

C. NEETA SINGH, SAMEER VYAS, R.P. PATHAK, PANKAJ SHARMA, N.V. MAHURE, “INVESTIGATED THE EFFECT OF AGGRESSIVE CHEMICAL ENVIRONMENT ON DURABILITY OF GEOPOLYMER CONCRETET”,INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGGINERING AND INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY”, VOL.3, ISSUE.4, OCT 2013.

NEETA SINGH, SAMEER VYAS, R.P. PATHAK, PANKAJ SHARMA, N.V. MAHURE, “Effect Of Aggressive Chemical Environment On Durability Of Geopolymer Concrete” The GPC and OPC cube were cast and immersed in 0.005M and 0.05M sulphuric acid, 10% sodium sulphate solution and 10% sodium chloride solution for test period of 30, 60 , 90 days. Then all the specimen were tested for compression strength and %loss of weight are studied. The test result show that geopolymer concrete has excellent resistant to sulphate and acid attack as compared OPC. The % wt loss and compression strength after immersion in above acid is also less for GPC as compared to OPC.

D. RUBY ABRAHAM, DEEPA RAJ S, VARGHASE ABRAHAM, “THEY CARRIED OUT A EXPERIMENTAL WORK TO DETERMINE THE FLEXURAL BEHAVIUIOR OF GEOPLYMER CONCRETE BEAMS WITH COVENTIONAL CONCRETE BEAMS OF SAME GRADE”, “INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH IN SCIENCE ENGGINERING AND

TECHNOLOGY”, VOL.2, ISSUE 1, DEC 2013

RUBY ABRAHAM, DEEPA RAJ S, VARGHASE ABRAHAM, “they carried out experimental work to determine the flexural behaviour of geopolymer concrete and conventional concrete” A total of twenty beams consisting of twelve GPC beam specimens and eight PCC beams specimens were considered in study. The beams were designed as under reinforced with tensile reinforcement ratio 0.55%, 0.83%, 1.02%, 1.3%. The beams were tested under two point monotonic loading. Performance aspects such as load carrying capacity, first crack load, load deflection behavior, crack width, crack spacing and mode of failure of both types of beams were studied. The test results showed that the geopolymer concrete exhibits better performance compared to conventional concrete of same grade.

E. STENNIE EDWARD WALLAH, “INVESTIGATED THE RESULTS OF EXPAN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON DRY SHRINKAGE AND CREEP BEHAVIOUR OF FLY ASH BASED GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE”, “MODERN APPLIED SCIENCE RUBY ABRAHAM”, VOL.3, NO. 12, DEC 2009.

STENNIE EDWARD WALLAH, “investigated the results of an experiment study on dry shrinkage and creep behaviour of fly ash based geopolymer concrete”. The test results were then compared with the calculated results of drying shrinkage and creep as predicted by the Gilbert Method which is normally used for ordinary Portland cement concrete. Results of the investigation indicated that fly ash based geopolymer concrete undergoes very little drying shrinkage and low creep.

III. DISCUSSION

Based on various literature reviews, it is observed that Fly ash based Geopolymer concrete is a new era in the construction industries. It possesses good compression strength, flexural strength, durability, very little drying shrinkage and low creep, economy and eco friendly compared to Ordinary Portland Cement. Hence it is suitable for various infrastructure and structural applications.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on various literature reviews it could be concluded that:

- 1) The effect of Molarity of NaOH, Curing effect, Curing temperature and Curing duration has significant effect on strength of geopolymer concrete.
- 2) Fly ash-based geopolymer concrete has excellent compression strength and is suitable for structural applications.
- 3) Due to high early strength Geopolymer concrete shall be effectively used in precast industries, so that huge production is possible in short duration and breakage during transportation shall also be minimized.
- 4) Fly ash based geopolymer concrete has an excellent resistance against sulphate and acid attack. Hence it is suitable in sea water areas.
- 5) The geopolymer concrete shall be effectively used for some retrofitting work and beam column junction of reinforced concrete structures.
- 6) It reduces the amount of cement hence it is cost effective.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Hardjito and B.V. Rangan. Development and properties of low calcium fly ash based geopolymer concrete. Curtin university and technology, Perth, Australia . 2005
- [2] C.D. Budh and N.R. Warhade. International journal of civil engineering research. ISSN 2278-3652 Volume 5, Number 1 (2014), pp. 83-86.
- [3] Amol A. Patil, H.S. Chole and P.A. Dode. Advance in concrete construction, Vol. 2, No. 1 (2014) 29-37
- [4] Neetu Singh, Sameer Vyas, R.P. Pathak, Pankaj Sharma, N.V. Mahure, S.L. Gupta. International journal of engineering and innovative technology (vol.3), issue 4, Oct 2013.
- [5] Andi Arham Adam, Horionto .2014. International journal conference on sustainable civil engineering structure and construction material. ELSEVIER. Sciencedirect.com.
- [6] Ruby Abraham, Deepa Raj S, Varghese Abraham. International journal of innovative research in science, engineering and technology. (vol.2), special issue 1, Dec 2013.
- [7] Stennie Edward wallah. Modern applied science. (vol.3, no 12) Dec 2009.