

# International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development

Volume 2,Issue 6, June -2015

# USE WASTE MATERIAL OF SIKKA POWER PLANT- (MOUND ASH) IN CONCRETE REPLACEMENT AS FINE AGGREGATE

Bhungani Hiren R.<sup>1</sup>, Y.V. Akbari<sup>2</sup>

<sup>\*1</sup>P.G. Student, Civil Engineering Dept, D.I.E.T, Rajkot, India <sup>2</sup>Asst. Professor Civil Engineering Dept, D.I.E.T, Rajkot, India

Abstract-The use of alternative aggregate is a natural step in solving part of depletion of natural aggregates. The investigation on alternative material for concrete making started much before than half a century.

Use of hazardous waste in concrete making will lead to green environment & sustainable concrete technology, Concrete made from mound ash (sikka thermal power plant waste) as fine aggregate will be studied for workability, compressive strength, tensile strength and Flexural strength Further, study of its durability will ensure greater reliability in its usage. So here in my project, I will use mound ash (sikka thermal power plant waste) as replacement of coarse aggregate by different percentage for making concrete of different grade from lower to higher like M-20 and M-30, an. The percentage replacement will be 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70% 80%, 90% and 100% with natural fine aggregates. I will use OPC -53 grade cement in making concrete with super plasticizer as an admixture to get proper workability for higher strength concrete.

Keyword-mound ash, super plasticizer, and workability.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Mix design M-20 grade of concrete was usedto study the different harden properties ofconcrete. Mound ash was taken from Gujarat state electricity corporation limited, sikka, and Jamnagar city in Gujarat. Mound ash has coarse particlesize, higher water absorption and usually lesspozzolanic effect than fly ash. It is dumped ordischarged in form of mound by conveyer belt, in mass quantity and iseasily available with minimum cost. By studyingprevious research papers, it shows that, thermal power plant waste material has a potential be used as fine aggregate in concrete. The thermal power plants are the main source of power generation in India. These thermal power plants have been generating about twothirds of the power demands of the country. There are about 40 major thermal power plants in India. World at present produces around approximately 1528 million tons of coal fly ashwhere India at present produces around 120million tons of coal ash per annum. Themoments beneficial use of fly ash in concrete is the preferable option for safe and economicalutilization of million tons of fly ash. There is acritical need to find new methods for using mound ash for its highest and best use. The major obstacle in use of mound ash in a concrete is the prosity is high.

## **II. LITERATURE REVIEW**

**P. Aggar wal, Y. Aggar wal, S.M. Gupta.** [1] The workability of concrete decreased with the increase in bottom ash content due to the increase in water demand. The density of concrete decreased with the increase in bottom ash content due to the low specific gravity of bottom ash as compared to fine aggregates. Compressive strength, splitting tensile strength and Flexural strength of fine aggregate replaced bottom ash concrete continue to increase with age for all the bottom ash contents.

**S M Waysal , P D Dhake1 and M P Kadam**[2] An effects on concrete by replacement of sand as thermal power plant pond ash on properties such as compressive strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength and Modulus of Elasticity, are studied. The natural sand was replaced with pond ash by 0%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100% by weight, at fixed water-cement ratio 0.48.

Outcome: The compressive strength for 7 and 28 days was increased up to 20% replacement and after that compressive strengths were decreased from 40% to 100% replacement. The split tensile strength and flexural strength was increased at 7 and 28 days for 40% replacement and after that it was decreased for remaining replacement.

**Abdulhameed Umar Abubak ar, KhairulSallehBaharudin**[3]The result of the grain size analysis indicated that Tanjung Bin coal bottom ash is higher percentage of coarse sand particles. lower specific gravity of fly ash & bottom ash is as a result of the chemical composition which is lower in lime & alumina content. Tanjung Bin bottom ash aggregate absorbed 19% by weight and dry aggregate in contrast to just 2% of normal weight aggregate and it also indicates that there is a direct relationship between the rate of absorption & time..

**K. Soman**[4] To investigate and identify supplementary by-product materials that can be used as substitutes for constituent materials inconcrete is the need of the present. Bottom ash is an abundant waste from furnace of thermal power plants and other industries.Studies so far done have revealed that bottom ash will increase the durability in several cases.Based on this experimental study, the following conclusions are drawn. The study shows that 30% replacement of M Sand withbottom ash has given a 28 day compressive strength of 38.43 MPa (target mean strength is 38.25k N/m2). The result shows thatbottom ash can be used to substitute M sand and the optimum replacement level is 30%. The use of bottom ash in concrete will reduce the environmental problems arising from filling it in land. As a result of reducedsand consumption the problems in sand mining can also be reduced. The reduction of landfill costs and ill effects of land fillingalong with reduction in sand mining finally leads to sustainable development.

#### **III. OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE**

Our prime objective is to check waste material of sikka power plant (mound ash) can replace the natural fine aggregate or can increase different properties of different grades of concrete for economic & environmental benefits.Study of waste material mound ash.It is partial size is coarse compared to cement so we can use replacement as a fine aggregate. The natural sand was replaced with mound ash 0 % to 100% by weight.Measure effects on fresh concrete and Hardened Concrete properties.To compared the test results of ordinary concrete and mound ash replacement concrete specimens. To find Optimum content of mound ash (waste of power plant) use in concrete.

#### **IV. METHEDOLOGY**

Cement 53 grades Ordinary Portland cement is used for this work. This cement is the most widely used one in the constructionindustry in India. Course and fine aggregates and Coarse aggregates of 10 mm and 20 mm size was used, and natural sand of bhohavo, gujarat was used for this study. Mound ash is obtained from sikkathermal power plant gujarat. Super Plastizer-PLASTCONE AP430 is used as directed by themanufacture to improve the workabilityconcrete. Number of trials was taken to decide the doses of Super plastizer in concrete to achieve the required 100 mm slump.

### Material property

The physical properties of coarse aggregate, fine aggregates and mound ash was determined. The fineness modulus of mound ash was found to be 2.01, whereas coarse aggregate and sand was 5.76 to 6.79 and 3.75, respectively. The specific gravity of mound ash was less as compared to fine aggregates. It was found that the water absorption was very high of mound ash as compared with sand and coarse aggregates. the fineness modulus, specific gravity and water absorption of coarse aggregates, sand and mound ash respectively.

Table 1: Mound Ash Chemical Compassion					
Sr. No.	Test	Sample: Mound Ash	Unit		
1	SiO <sub>2</sub> (Sodium Silicate)	72.1	% By wt.		
2	CaO (Calcium Oxide)	1.02	% By wt.		
3	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (Alumin iu m Oxide)	-	% By wt.		

Table 2:physical property				
Property	Mound ash	Coarse aggregate	Fine aggregate	
Specific Gravity	2.1	2.74	2.67	
Water absorption	13.5 %	0.67	1.01	

#### **Testing program**

Mix design was carried out as per IS:10262-2009 concrete mixture with different proportions of pond ash ranging from 0% (for ordinary mix) to 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90% and 100% replacement for sand were considered. The M-20 grade mix design was selected for w/c ratio 0.55 and slump was considered 50 to 100 mm. For this work testing was carried out at 7 days and 28 days. Total 54 cubes specimen, 54 cylindrical specimens and 54 beam specimens were casted and tested for compressive strength, split tensile strength, and flexural strength. For this work total 162 test specimen were casted and tested. Table 3 shows mix proportions and mix ratio. Table 4 shows the material consumed with different proportions of mound ash with cement in  $kg/m^3$ .

Table 3: mix proportion (Kg/ $m^3$ ) and Mix ratio				
Water	Cement	Coarse aggregate	Fine aggregate	
191.6	353.18	1154.6	783.6	
0.55	1	2.21	3.26	

Table 4: concrete material for different replacement(Kg/ $m^3$ )						
Sr. no Of mix	% of replace meant	CEMENT (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	WATER (ml)	SAND (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	COURSE AGG. (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	MOUND ASH (% OF sand) (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
BN - 0	0 %	358.18	197	783.6	1154.6	0
BN – 1	10 %	358.18	197	705.26	1154.6	78.36
BN – 2	20 %	358.18	197	626.90	1154.6	156.72
BN – 3	30 %	358.18	197	548.54	1154.6	235.08
BN-4	40 %	358.18	197	470.17	1154.6	313.45
BN - 5	50 %	358.18	197	391.81	1154.6	391.81
BN - 6	60 %	358.18	197	313.45	1154.6	470.17
BN - 7	70 %	358.18	197	235.08	1154.6	548.54
BN - 8	80 %	358.18	197	156.72	1154.6	626.90
BN – 9	90 %	358.18	197	78.36	1154.6	705.26
BN 10	100 %	358.18	197	0	1154.6	783.60

#### **Preparation of test**

For mixing the concrete a half bag mixture was used. First coarse aggregates of 20 mm, 10 mm were placed in the mixture then sand and cement were mixed together in dry state then after water was added and mixed until the homogeneous mixture were obtained. Each batch is mixed around 3 to 5 min and then mixture was placed in a metallic try and immediately slump was checked before the concrete was placed in different mould. For this work drinking water was used for mixing and curing process.

#### Cube

Cube of size 150 mm  $\times$  150 mm  $\times$  150 mmwere used. The cube were cleaned thoroughlya waste cloth and then properly oil was applied along its faces. Concrete was then filled in mould in three layers, while filling the mould concrete was compacted using tamping rodof length 600 mm having a cross sectional area 25 mm2 and then the mould are kept on planeand level surface in the laboratory for 24 h and cubes are removed from the mould and keptfor curing.

#### Cylindrical

Cylindrical mould of diameter 150 mm andheight 300 mm were used. The oil was applied along the inner surface of the mould for easyre moval of cylinder from the mould. Concretewas poured in three layers and well compacted by tamping rod.

#### Beam

Beam mould of size  $100 \text{ mm} \times 100 \text{ mm} \times 500 \text{ mm}$  was used. The oil was applied along theinner surface of the mould for easy removal ofbeam from mould. Concrete was pouredthroughout its length and well compacted bytamping rod.

### Curing

After casting of all cubes, cylindrical specimenand beam specimen are kept for curing incuring tank and drinking water was used forthroughout curing process.

#### V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Effect of Mound Ash on Compressive Strength

Compressive strength of concrete made without without pond ash of cubes size 150 mm  $\times$ 150 mm  $\times$  150 mm was determined at 7 and 28 days. The test results are as shown in Figure 1. The maximum load at failure readingwas taken and the average compressivestrength was calculated using the following relation.



Here 0% to 100% of mound ash was replaced with sand and optimum percentage of replacement was found at 30% replacement of pond ash with sand. For ordinary concrete the compressive strength was found for 7 and 28 days. It was observed that for 30 % sand replacement the compressive strength was increased as compared with ordinary concrete. Then after that compressive strength were decreased from 30% to 100% replacement. The mound ash concrete gains strength at a slower rate in the initial period and acquires strength at faster rate.

#### Effect of Coal Pond Ash on Split Tensile Strength

The specimen of size 150 mm in diameter and length of 300 mm were cast and tested under the digital CTM of capacity 300 ton. The specimen was kept under CTM at the center load was applied with pace rate 1.2 KN/s and ultimate loading was noted. Split tensile strength was shown in Figure 2. The split tensile strength was calculated according to IS - 5816-1970 and IS 516 – 1959 code by the  $\sigma bt = 2p/\pi$  DL Where  $\sigma bt =$  split tensile strength in N/mm2, P = Maximum load at failure, L = span, D= Diameter of specimen. The split tensile strength for concrete was found for 7 and 28days respectively. It was found that the split tensile strength for 28 days was increased up to 30%. It was observed that from the Figure 2 that the splitting tensile strength of concrete decreases with the increase in the percentage of fine aggregates replacement with the mound ash, but the splitting tensile strength increases with the age of curing.



#### Effect of Coal Pond Ash on FlexuralStrength

The beam specimen of size 100 mm  $\times$  100 mm  $\times$  500 mm was tested for single point load at the midpoint under the UTM machine. The flexural strength was calculated as perIS 456 – 2000 and IS 516 – 1959 by using therelation  $\sigma bt = PL/bd2$  Where  $\sigma b =$  Modulus ofrupture in N/mm2, P = Maximum load, L = span,b = width of specimen, d = depth of specimen. The flexural strength was constantly decreased form 0% to 100% replacement.



#### V.CONCLUSION

Thermal power plant waste material like mound ash can use in concrete parcel replacement as fine aggregate. Workability will decline where increasing percentage of replacement. Maximum 30% replacement possible in concrete regarding compression and tensile strength.

Density of concrete decline where increasing percentage of replacement.

#### VI. REFERENCES

- [1]. P. Aggarwal, Y. Aggarwal, S.M. Gupta (2007): "Effect of Bottom Ash as Replacement of Fine Aggregates in Concrete". Asian journal of civil engineering (building and housing) vol. 8, no. 1 (2007) pages 49-62.
- [2]. S m waysal, p d dhake and m p kadam: "Effect on concrete properties by replacement of sand as thermal power plant pond ash", int. J. Struct. & civil engg. Res, issn: 2319 6009, vol. 3, no. 2, may 2014, IJSCS.

- [3]. Abdulhameed Umar (2012): "properties of concrete using tanjung bin power plant coal bottom ash and fly ash". International journal of sustainable construction engineering & technology (issn: 2180-3242) vol 3, issue 2, 2012.
- [4]. K. Soman: "strength properties of concrete with partial replacement of sand by bottom ash", international journal of innovative research in advanced engineering (ijirae) issn: 2349-2163 volume 1 issue 7 (august 2014).
- [5]. Arumugam K (2011):"A study on characterization and use of Pond Ash as fine aggregate in Concrete". International journal of civil and structural engineering volume 2, no 2, 2011.
- [6]. Arunkumardwivedi (2013): "influence of addition of pond ash as partial replacement with sand and cement on the properties of mortar". International journal of innovative technology and exploring engineering (ijitee) issn: 2278-3075, volume-2, issue-4, march 2013.
- [7]. Govindarajan d, keerthana m, kumara raja g, prasannakumarr : "experimental investigation on replacement of fine aggregate with bottom ash in concrete", international journal of chemtech research coden (usa): IJCRGG, ISSN : 0974-4290vol.6, no.14, pp 5570-5574, nov-dec 2014.
- [8]. Jay Patel, Kunal Patel, Gaurav Patel: "Utilization of pond fly ash as a partial replacement in fine aggregate with using fine fly ash and alcoofine in HSC", IJRET: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, eISSN: 2319-1163,pISSN: 2321-7308.
- [9]. Remyaraju, mathews m. Paul & k. A. Aboobacker: "Strength performance of concrete using bottom ash as fine aggregate ", international journal of research in engineering & technology (impact: ijret), issn(e): 2321-8843; issn(p): 2347-4599, vol. 2, issue 9, sep 2014.
- [10]. IS 10262(2002): which is code of mix design of concrete.